

# Recent studies into working memory capacity and L2 reading comprehension

Wenpu Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Wei Lin<sup>2</sup>

1. Foreign Languages Department, Chengdu Technological University, Chengdu 611730, China

2. Foreign Languages Department, Chengdu Technological University, Chengdu, China

**ABSTRACT.** Working memory capacity, commonly believed to be much related to reading ability, has been looked into by previous studies in its positive relationship with L1 reading ability. Whereas, more recent studies explored its relationship with L2 reading ability. Through a review of the recent studies into the relationship between working memory capacity and L2 reading ability from the perspectives of measurement instruments, test performance scoring, interaction and manipulation of other factors, this paper tries to reveal the findings, complexities, and direction for future research.

**KEYWORDS:** working memory, L2 reading ability, measurement

## 1. Introduction

The process of reading comprehension occurs in working memory [1], “a limited capacity system allowing the temporary storage and manipulation of information necessary for such complex tasks as comprehension, learning and reasoning” [2]. Previous studies found positive correlation between working memory capacity and L1 reading comprehension [3] [4] [5]. Recent ones began to look into that between working memory and L2 reading. Through a brief review of several recent studies, this paper intends to reveal the findings, complexities, and direction for future research in this field.

## 2. Working memory and L2 reading

### 2.1 Conceptualization of working memory

There is a consensus on the conceptualization of working memory capacity that adopts Baddeley’s four-component construct: the phonological loop, the visuospatial sketchpad, the central executive, and the episodic buffer, which views central

executive as the most important component [6]. It is also agreed that working memory is a limited attention resources for information processing and storage independent of languages [7].

### ***2.2 Measurement instruments***

The measurement of working memory capacity shows slight variation though a trend of unifying is found.

Agreeing central executive as a core in the functioning of working memory, Daneman & Carpenter's reading span task (RST) [12] was adopted in most studies as measurement instrument. Early studies as [10] and [13] adopted it directly. However, [4] and [6] later criticized it for using only read-aloud and recall tasks, which measures only storage aspect of working memory but neglect the processing aspect. Then an improved composite RST model [5] was adopted where comprehension tasks were introduced to tap processing capacity, though there were adaptations such as the inclusion of grammaticality judgement and recall tasks in [7] [8] [9], logical judgement of animate/inanimate subject-verb collocation and recall tasks in [14], content true or false judgement and recall tasks in [15], tense identification and words recall in [16], and sentence judgement and words recognition in [17].

However, some scholars expressed doubts about validity of reading span test, questioning whether the correlation was found because the reading span task taps cognitive process of temporary storage [4] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]. This opens future research direction into the cognitive resources drawn on by reading tasks and memory.

### ***2.3 Test performance scoring***

In most studies working memory capacity is calculated based on the scoring of both accuracy (the indicator of processing capacity) and words recalled (the indicator of storage capacity) [6] [7] [9] [18] [19]. However, in some other studies, response time was introduced as another dimension of scoring to minimize the trade-off strategy [10] [14] [15] [16] [17].

For similar reasons, [18] suggested the introducing of cognitive load by means of stress imposed by the presence of a video camera. However, [18] also doubted the positive effect of stress on measuring low L2 working memory capacity group, in that the longer time used may damage their short-term information storage so that they are compelled to use this trade-off strategy.

### ***2.4 Positive relationship established between working memory and L2 reading***

Though the research design may vary, positive correlation between working memory capacity and L2 reading was found in most studies. From the perspective of

knowledge presentation, [14] established positive relationship between L2 verbal working memory and L2 structure building. Operationalizing the construct of reading comprehension into literal comprehension and inferential comprehension, [8] found consistent meaningful relationship between reading span and inferential comprehension, but not literal comprehension. Distinguishing between the role of explicit and implicit knowledge, [9] found significant positive relationship between L2 reading comprehension and explicit knowledge but not between implicit knowledge. Surveying into thematic inferential processing and L2 reading comprehension, [15] established positive relationship between working memory and reading ability.

### **3. Interaction and manipulation of other factors**

#### ***3.1 Interaction of other factors***

However, some other studies explored contribution to L2 reading by other factors. [10] indicated receptive vocabulary, rather than working memory, as most related to inference capacity, which functions a determining predictor of L2 reading comprehension. [16] found topic familiarity as the most significant predictor for L2 reading comprehension, arguing that working memory capacity compensates for topic unfamiliarity and that the effect of working memory was not significant when topics are familiar. [8] revealed significant relationship between working memory capacity and L2 reading comprehension and content familiarity between and L2 reading comprehension. [17] revealed no significant relationship between working and L2 reading comprehension. However, considering that the participants were allowed to preview a video and listen to audio narration before reading and to look up vocabulary during reading, this study contributed more in pointing to future research into the interaction of compensation tactics between working memory capacity. A few other studies also probed into the strategies used to compensate low working memory capacity such as longer response time [15] and testing strategies [19].

#### ***3.2 Manipulating other factors in working memory test***

There has not been agreement on if and when to include readers' schema (topic/content knowledge) [20] in the experiment design. However, it is suggested that we include schema factors when interaction relationship with working memory is surveyed but remove them when individual contribution of working memory is surveyed.

Text genre selected for reading comprehension tests were not consistent. Most studies chose narrative genre, but some of them such as [19] and [21] chose expository genre to minimize the influence of participants' prior knowledge.

Another factor that is not consistent is text availability during reading comprehension test. [6] [8] [19] provided chances for the participants to refer back

to the text, while others did do so. To eliminate this effect, [19] suggested the use of offline reading test to minimize the chances of strategy use by the participants.

One more complexity lies in the response format of reading comprehension tasks. The most often used format is multiple-choice questions such as Nelson–Denny reading comprehension test. However, multiple-choice questions are not without problems. Some studies attempted to adopt limited produce questions [22] such as summary completion task [14], reading comprehension followed by a written recall protocol in participants' L1 [16].

This, however, raises another question, i.e., whether tasks should be designed in readers' L1 or L2. Most studies reviewed designed tasks in readers' L2. However, tasks taken in both L1 and L2 is also suggested [6].

Another complexity lies in subjects' different language backgrounds. [23] found the scores for German working memory to be higher than that of French for German-French bilinguals. [24] found Korean-English bilinguals score lower in phonological memory test on Korea. Subjects of more bi-lingual backgrounds, especially that outside Indo-European family, or not phonological orthography need to be included in future research.

#### 4. Conclusion

A review of recent studies suggests a consensus on the conceptualization of working memory and a positive relationship between working memory and L2 reading comprehension. Improved versions of RST is generally taken as the measurement instrument; however, intervention of cognitive process on reading span tasks needs to be taken into consideration by future studies. Accuracy and words recalled are generally scored during the test, while response time and cognitive load are suggested to be included with caution. Studies suggested the interaction of other factors such as topic familiarity, text genre, text availability, response format, and subjects' language backgrounds that contribute to L2 reading comprehension, which need to be considered in future research design.

#### Acknowledgement

Sponsored by Project "Research and Practice on College English Curriculum Innovation in Newly Founded Undergraduate Colleges under the Background of Internet +" under "2017 Talent Training Quality and Teaching Reform Project of Chengdu Technological University" Issue number:JG2017B19

#### References

- [1] Grabe W P, Stoller F L(2013). Teaching and researching: Reading. Routledge.
- [2] Baddeley A(2000). The episodic buffer: a new component of working memory? Trends in cognitive sciences, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 417-423.
- [3] Daneman M, Green I(1986). Individual differences in comprehending and producing words in context. Journal of memory and language, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1-18.
- [4] Waters G S, Caplan D(1996). The measurement of verbal working memory capacity and its relation to reading comprehension. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 51-79.
- [5] Just M A, Carpenter P A(2002). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual differences in working memory,
- [6] Alptekin C, Erçetin G(2009). Assessing the relationship of working memory to L2 reading: Does the nature of comprehension process and reading span task make a difference? System, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 627-639.
- [7] Alptekin C, Erçetin G(2010). The role of L1 and L2 working memory in literal and inferential comprehension in L2 reading. Journal of Research in Reading, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 206-219.
- [8] Alptekin C, Erçetin G(2011). Effects of working memory capacity and content familiarity on literal and inferential comprehension in L2 reading. TESOL quarterly, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 235-266.
- [9] Erçetin G, Alptekin C(2013). The explicit/implicit knowledge distinction and working memory: Implications for second-language reading comprehension. Applied Psycholinguistics, vol.34, no.4, pp. 727-753.

- [10] Van Dyke J A, Johns C L, Kukona A(2014). Low working memory capacity is only spuriously related to poor reading comprehension. *Cognition*, vol.131, no.3, pp. 373-403.
- [11] Koda K(2005). *Insights into second language reading: A cross-linguistic approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Daneman M, Carpenter P A(1980). Individual differences in working memory and reading. *Journal of verbal learning and verbal behavior*, vol.19, no.4, pp. 450-466.
- [13] Osaka M, Osaka N, Groner R(1993). Language-independent working memory: Evidence from German and French reading span tests. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 117-118.
- [14] Walter C(2004). Transfer of reading comprehension skills to L2 is linked to mental representations of text and to L2 working memory. *Applied Linguistics*, vol.25, no. 3, pp. 315-339.
- [15] Huang S, Liu C(2013). Working Memory and Thematic Inference Processing in L2 Narrative Comprehension. *Asian Journal of English Language Teaching*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 19-36.
- [16] Leeser M J(2007). Learner - based factors in L2 reading comprehension and processing grammatical form: Topic familiarity and working memory. *Language learning*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 229-270.
- [17] Chun D M, Payne J S(2004). What makes students click: Working memory and look-up behavior. *System*, vol.32, no. 4, pp. 481-503.
- [18] Rai M K, Loschky L C, Harris R J, et al(2011). Effects of stress and working memory capacity on foreign language readers' inferential processing during comprehension. *Language Learning*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 187-218.
- [19] Hannon B(2012). Understanding the relative contributions of lower - level word processes, higher - level processes, and working memory to reading comprehension performance in proficient adult readers. *Reading Research Quarterly*, vol.47, no. 2, pp. 125-152.
- [20] Bartlett F C(1932). *Remembering: An experimental and social study*. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- [21] Adams R, Shahnazari-Dorcheh M(2014). The relationship between working memory and L2 reading comprehension. *Applied Research on English Language*, vol.3, no. 6, pp. 19-34.
- [22] Purpura, J. E. Writing Items and Tasks. In Kunnan, A. J. (Eds.) (2014), *The Companion to Language Assessment* (1st ed). Wiley-Blackwell.
- [23] Osaka M, Osaka N, Groner R(1993). Language-independent working memory: Evidence from German and French reading span tests. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, vol.31, no. 2, pp. 117-118.
- [24] Pae H K, Sevcik R A(2017). The role of verbal working memory in second language reading fluency and comprehension: A comparison of English and Korean. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, vol.4, no. 1, pp. 47-65.