

Curating Consumer Space: The Transformation of Sculpture into Installation

Qiantong (Pei Ying) Wong

Academy of Arts and Design, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Abstract: *This article examines the transformation of sculpture into installation within contemporary consumer space, focusing on shopping malls, luxury retail environments, commercial complexes, hotels, and real-estate developments. Rather than treating this shift solely as an internal evolution of artistic media, the study argues that it is closely related to the changing spatial logic of consumer society, in which commercial environments increasingly function as sites of cultural experience, symbolic consumption, and visual communication. Drawing on theories of spatial production, consumer society, spectacle, and site-specific practice, the article analyzes how sculpture moves from an autonomous object toward a spatial, experiential, and communicative form. It further investigates how this transformation reshapes curatorial practice, shifting it from the display of discrete artworks toward the production of spatial experience, cultural identity, and commercial value. The article argues that sculpture and installation increasingly contribute to place-making, brand differentiation, audience engagement, and the symbolic enhancement of consumer environments, while also raising critical questions concerning artistic autonomy, spectacle, and commercial instrumentalization.*

Keywords: *Sculpture; Installation Art; Consumer Space; Curatorial Practice; Commercial Space; Spatial Production; Cultural Value*

1. Introduction

In recent decades, sculpture has increasingly moved beyond museums, galleries, and civic monuments into shopping malls, luxury retail environments, hotels, office buildings, real-estate developments, and regenerated urban districts. In these settings, art is no longer treated simply as decoration but is increasingly integrated into spatial planning, branding, public programming, and audience experience.

This development reflects both the transformation of sculpture and the changing nature of consumer space. Throughout the twentieth century, sculpture progressively moved beyond the autonomous object through the weakening of the pedestal, the rise of Minimalism, site-specific practice, and installation. Rosalind Krauss's concept of the "expanded field" [1] demonstrated that sculpture could no longer be defined solely through material and form, but through its changing relationships with architecture, landscape, and spatial conditions. Sculpture thus became increasingly spatial and relational. At the same time, consumer space evolved from a functional site of exchange into a cultural and experiential environment. Henri Lefebvre's theory of the production of space [2] understands space as socially produced rather than neutral, while Jean Baudrillard's analysis of consumer society [3] emphasizes the consumption of signs, identities, and symbolic distinctions. Within this context, art can generate cultural legitimacy, spatial identity, audience engagement, and symbolic value, helping transform commercial developments into recognizable cultural destinations.

This convergence also reshapes curatorial practice. Curators increasingly participate in commissioning, spatial planning, audience circulation, and negotiations between artistic and commercial objectives. Curating therefore moves beyond the display of objects toward the production of spatial experience and cultural value. This article argues that the movement of sculpture into consumer space is a reciprocal process: the spatial expansion of sculpture enables its entry into commercial environments, while consumer space further encourages sculpture to become site-responsive, experiential, and communicative.

2. From Autonomous Sculpture to Spatial Installation

The movement of sculpture into consumer space was made possible by an earlier transformation in the ontology of sculpture itself. Before sculpture could become part of an experiential commercial environment, it first had to move beyond the idea of the autonomous object.

For much of its history, sculpture retained a strong sense of material and formal independence, even when created for architectural, religious, or civic settings. The pedestal reinforced this distinction by separating the sculptural body from ordinary space and establishing a clear boundary between artwork and environment. Modern sculpture gradually destabilized this structure. The weakening of the pedestal, the use of fragments, industrial materials, and the increasing importance of scale and bodily movement shifted attention from sculpture as a closed form toward the conditions of its encounter. By the mid-twentieth century, this change became especially visible in Minimalism. Donald Judd's notion of "specific objects" [4] challenged established distinctions between painting and sculpture and emphasized the literal presence of objects in real space. Robert Morris [5] similarly treated scale, spatial perception, and bodily movement as central to the experience of sculpture. Meaning no longer resided solely within the object but emerged through relations among object, architecture, and spectator. Michael Fried [6] criticized this dependence on the viewer as "theatrical," arguing that Minimalist work unfolded through physical presence and duration. Yet precisely this condition would become fundamental to later installation practice.

The change was therefore not simply formal; it involved a shift in where artistic meaning was understood to reside. By the late 1960s and 1970s, land art, environmental practice, architectural intervention, and site-specific work pushed sculpture beyond the limits of material and medium. Rosalind Krauss's "Sculpture in the Expanded Field" offered a decisive theoretical account of this development. Rather than treating the instability of sculpture as the collapse of the medium, Krauss [1] argued that sculptural practice had entered a broader structural field defined by relations among architecture, landscape, and their conceptual opposites. Sculpture was no longer determined by a stable material identity but by its position within a spatial system. The notion of site consequently became more important. Site-specific practice challenged the idea that location merely provided a background for an otherwise independent work. Architecture, topography, circulation, institutional history, and social relations could become part of the work's material and conceptual structure. Miwon Kwon [7] later showed how site-specificity expanded from a primarily phenomenological concern with physical location toward institutional, social, and discursive forms of engagement. The site was no longer simply a place; it became a network of spatial and cultural relations.

Installation emerged from this expanded understanding of sculpture by treating the conditions of encounter themselves as part of the work. Floors, walls, ceilings, light, sound, projection, temporary structures, and pathways could all become artistic elements. The viewer was correspondingly repositioned from an external observer to an embodied participant who entered, moved through, and experienced the work overtime. As Claire Bishop [8] argues, installation art addresses the spectator as a physically situated subject, making spatial presence central to the production of meaning (Bishop, 2005). This development also transformed the role of the sculptor. The sculptor increasingly worked not only with mass, surface, and volume, but also with distance, sequence, atmosphere, circulation, and the behavior of bodies in space. Sculpture became less concerned with simply occupying space than with structuring it.

This shift created the formal and conceptual conditions through which sculpture could later enter commercial environments. Shopping atriums, hotel lobbies, luxury retail interiors, mixed-use developments, and real-estate projects are already organized through architecture, circulation, visibility, and social behavior. Once sculpture became spatial and site-responsive, these environments could be approached not simply as locations in which artworks were placed, but as systems capable of being transformed through artistic intervention.

The transition from sculpture to installation should therefore not be understood as the disappearance of sculpture or the emergence of an entirely separate medium. It represents an expansion in the scale at which sculptural meaning is produced. Material form remains important, but it becomes embedded within a wider network of spatial relations. This spatialization prepared sculpture for its increasing incorporation into consumer space, where it could operate simultaneously as artistic form, spatial intervention, cultural sign, and experiential environment.

3. Consumer Space as Cultural and Experiential Space

If the spatialization of sculpture made installation possible, the transformation of commercial environments created the conditions in which installation became increasingly useful. Contemporary consumer space is no longer defined solely by the circulation and sale of commodities. Shopping centers, luxury retail complexes, mixed-use developments, hotels, branded interiors, and large-scale real-estate projects increasingly operate as cultural and experiential environments. Their competitiveness depends not only on what is sold within them, but also on the atmosphere they generate, the identities they project, and the forms of social experience they make possible.

Henri Lefebvre's theory of the production of space [2] offers an important framework for understanding this shift. For Lefebvre [2], space is not an empty or neutral container in which social activity occurs; it is itself produced through social relations, economic structures, representations, and everyday practices. Commercial space should therefore be understood as an active system that organizes movement, visibility, interaction, and forms of behavior. The location of entrances, circulation routes, atriums, display areas, resting zones, and cultural facilities contributes to the production of patterns of use. Art enters this system not as an isolated addition, but as one of the elements through which spatial experience is organized and differentiated. This understanding is particularly relevant to the development of high-end consumer environments. Luxury retail spaces and premium commercial developments increasingly seek to distinguish themselves from conventional shopping environments by incorporating architecture, design, public art, temporary exhibitions, and cultural programming. Their objective is not simply to increase the visual attractiveness of a site. Rather, culture becomes a means of constructing a broader identity around the space itself. A shopping center can be positioned as a cultural destination; a luxury flagship store can operate simultaneously as a retail environment, exhibition space, and architectural statement; a real-estate development can use public art to establish a recognizable image before its commercial identity is fully formed. The significance of art in these contexts becomes clearer when considered through Jean Baudrillard's account of consumer society [3]. Baudrillard [3] argues that consumption in advanced societies increasingly operates through signs and symbolic distinctions rather than through utility alone. Commodities are consumed partly for the meanings attached to them: status, taste, lifestyle, exclusivity, or cultural affiliation. The same logic extends to consumer space. Visitors do not simply consume products within a shopping environment; they also consume the atmosphere, image, and symbolic identity of the place.

Art is particularly effective within this symbolic economy because it carries forms of value that are not reducible to direct commercial exchange. Contemporary sculpture can signify cultural sophistication, experimentation, historical awareness, or exclusivity. Its presence allows commercial space to associate itself with meanings conventionally produced by museums, galleries, and cultural institutions. In this sense, artistic value can be transferred, at least partially, into spatial and symbolic value. The commercial environment becomes culturally differentiated not because the artwork directly advertises a product, but because it changes the way the space itself is perceived.

The emergence of the "experience economy" further strengthens this relationship. Pine and Gilmore [9] argue that advanced forms of consumption increasingly revolve around staged and memorable experiences rather than the provision of goods and services alone. Although developed within business theory, this framework helps explain why commercial developments have become increasingly interested in artistic and cultural programming. Art can slow circulation, encourage visitors to remain in a space, create moments of discovery, and generate experiences that cannot be reduced to shopping. Installation is especially suited to this role because it can operate at the scale of architecture and engage visitors through movement, sensory perception, and participation.

The value generated by art in consumer space is therefore multidimensional. It may create cultural legitimacy, strengthen place identity, increase audience engagement, and produce symbolic distinction. It can also provide recognizable visual markers through which a commercial development becomes memorable. In real estate and retail contexts, these cultural effects may eventually contribute to economic value by increasing visibility, differentiation, and the perceived quality of a site. This does not mean that artistic value can simply be converted into commercial value without tension. The relationship is more complex. Art retains its cultural authority precisely because it is not entirely reducible to marketing. Its usefulness to commercial environments often depends on maintaining a degree of independence, ambiguity, and symbolic excess. The more directly an artwork functions as advertising, the more easily its cultural legitimacy may be weakened. Consumer space therefore does not merely provide a new venue for sculpture. It creates a new set of expectations around what sculpture can do. Art is asked to shape atmosphere, organize movement, establish identity, generate cultural distinction, and create experiences

that extend beyond the direct exchange of goods.

Under these conditions, installation becomes particularly compatible with the ambitions of contemporary commercial space, and curatorial practice becomes increasingly important in mediating between artistic integrity, spatial identity, and commercial value.

4. From Sculpture to Spatial Experience

The entry of sculpture into consumer space changes not only where art is displayed, but also how it is conceived and produced. In a conventional studio-based model, a sculpture may be completed before its exhibition site is determined. In commercial environments, however, the site often becomes part of the commission from the outset. Ceiling height, circulation routes, architectural surfaces, lighting, visitor density, visual axes, brand identity, and the duration of an event may all influence the work before production begins. The logic therefore shifts from placing an artwork within a space to producing an artwork through the conditions of that space. This shift changes the status of the sculptural object. A work commissioned for the atrium of a luxury shopping center is experienced in relation to escalators, shopfronts, multiple floors, changing viewing distances, and the movement of visitors. Sculpture therefore becomes less concerned with occupying space than with organizing it. Scale, sequence, orientation, and circulation become as important as material and volume.

Commercial space also introduces a different temporality. Traditional sculpture has often been associated with permanence, while contemporary consumer environments operate through seasonal displays, temporary exhibitions, product launches, and cultural events. Installation is especially compatible with these cycles because it can incorporate lightweight structures, projection, sound, digital screens, mechanical systems, or responsive technologies. The work may be designed to exist for weeks or months rather than decades. Its disappearance does not necessarily end its cultural life, since photographs, videos, press coverage, and social-media circulation may continue to reproduce the work after it has been dismantled. The viewer's role also changes. Installation allows audiences to enter, move through, and physically experience the work. As Claire Bishop [8] argues, installation art addresses the spectator as an embodied subject whose presence contributes to the production of meaning.

In commercial settings, however, the audience is often accidental rather than intentional. Visitors may encounter an artwork while shopping, dining, meeting others, or simply passing through. This divided attention encourages works that can function at different levels: they may be immediately legible from a distance while offering a more complex experience through closer engagement.

Digital circulation adds another dimension. Contemporary installations often exist simultaneously in physical and mediated space. Visitors photograph works, place themselves within the frame, and redistribute these images through social networks. The spectator therefore becomes both viewer and distributor. In luxury retail and real-estate contexts, this circulation can extend the visibility of a site far beyond its physical location. A successful installation may become a recognizable image associated with a particular building, commercial district, or development. Yet this process also introduces a tension. When photographic circulation becomes a dominant measure of success, installation risks being designed primarily for image production. Its spatial, material, and temporal qualities may become secondary to its visual impact on a screen. Guy Debord's critique of spectacle [10] is relevant here, as the social relation surrounding the artwork becomes increasingly mediated through images.

The transformation of sculpture within consumer space can therefore be understood as a movement from object to spatial experience, and from experience to circulation. Sculpture increasingly operates through site, temporality, bodily encounter, and mediated visibility. These conditions make installation particularly suited to contemporary commercial environments, while also increasing the importance of curatorial mediation between artistic meaning, audience experience, spatial identity, and commercial visibility.

5. Curatorial Transformation: From Display to Spatial Production

As sculpture becomes increasingly site-responsive, temporary, and experiential, curatorial practice also moves beyond the conventional task of selecting and arranging artworks. In museums and galleries, the curator has traditionally worked with objects that already possess a relatively stable identity before entering the exhibition. In consumer space, by contrast, the curatorial process often begins much earlier. The site, its architectural conditions, the expectations of the commissioner, and the intended public

experience may all shape the project before the artwork itself has been produced.

This shift changes the sequence of exhibition-making. A luxury shopping center may seek an artwork capable of defining a central atrium; a real-estate development may require a visual landmark that helps establish a new district's identity; a flagship store may invite an artist to respond directly to the architecture, materials, or cultural language of the brand. In these cases, the curator does not simply choose an appropriate work from an existing body of production. Instead, the curator may participate in defining the commission, selecting the artist, interpreting the site, negotiating the scale and duration of the project, and coordinating the relationship between artistic intention and spatial function. The artwork therefore emerges through a network of decisions involving art, architecture, engineering, circulation, branding, and public use. Curating begins to operate less as an act of display and more as a form of spatial production. The difference is significant because the object is no longer the only unit around which curatorial thinking is organized. The curator must also consider how the work is approached, where it becomes visible, how long visitors remain near it, and how it relates to movement through the surrounding environment. In large commercial projects, this expanded responsibility becomes particularly apparent. Artists may work alongside architects, interior designers, engineers, fabricators, lighting specialists, property managers, and marketing teams. Each group operates according to different priorities. Architects may focus on circulation and structural integration; developers on safety, maintenance, and long-term value; brands on identity and communication; artists on conceptual coherence and aesthetic autonomy. The curator often occupies the space between these systems. Part of the role is practical: translating an artist's proposal into technical and spatial requirements, resolving conflicts between installation and architecture, or adapting a work to public-access regulations. But the curator also performs a cultural function by protecting the work from becoming entirely subordinate to commercial objectives. Curatorial mediation, in this sense, is not simply administrative. It determines how far artistic meaning can remain visible within a space that is already structured by economic interests.

This transformation can be described as a movement from object-based curating toward spatial and experiential curating. The exhibition is no longer defined only by what is shown, but by the conditions through which it is encountered. Lighting, circulation, duration, access, programming, and the rhythm of the visitor's movement can all become part of the curatorial structure. This does not mean that curating becomes equivalent to interior design or experience design. Rather, the distinction lies in the curator's responsibility for cultural interpretation and artistic context. Spatial organization becomes meaningful only when it supports a coherent relationship between the artwork, the site, and the ideas being communicated. The production of cultural value is central to this process. A single sculpture may generate attention, but a sustained curatorial program can shape the identity of an entire commercial environment over time. Repeated artist commissions, temporary exhibitions, public programs, and site-specific projects can create continuity and establish associations that extend beyond individual works. A shopping center, hotel, or real-estate development may gradually become recognized not only for its commercial functions but for a distinct cultural profile. In this way, curating helps transform isolated artistic interventions into a broader narrative of place.

Such cultural positioning can also produce commercial effects. A coherent artistic program may strengthen public memory, differentiate a development from competing sites, encourage repeat visits, and contribute to the symbolic prestige of a brand or property. The process is indirect: cultural value is not simply converted into financial value now an artwork is installed. Rather, curating helps accumulate cultural associations around a space, and these associations can influence how the site is perceived, remembered, and valued.

Yet the expansion of curatorial practice into consumer space also creates an obvious tension. If the curator is expected only to generate visually striking environments, social-media attention, or brand visibility, curating risks becoming indistinguishable from promotion. Its critical and interpretive functions weaken when artistic decisions are subordinated entirely to audience metrics or commercial messaging.

Curating consumer space should therefore be understood as the organization of relationships rather than the arrangement of objects. It connects artistic production with architecture, audience experience, institutional identity, and commercial strategy, while also negotiating the boundaries between cultural autonomy and instrumental value. In this expanded role, curating becomes one of the principal mechanisms through which sculpture and installation are integrated into contemporary consumer environments and through which those environments themselves acquire cultural meaning.

6. Art, Spectacle, and Commercial Instrumentalization

The increasing integration of sculpture and installation into consumer space creates new opportunities for artistic visibility, but it also introduces a series of tensions. Commercial environments can provide artists with large-scale sites, technical resources, and audiences far beyond those available in conventional galleries. At the same time, these opportunities are accompanied by expectations related to visibility, accessibility, branding, and public engagement. The result is not a simple opposition between art and commerce, but a field in which artistic autonomy and commercial utility are continually negotiated.

Guy Debord's concept of the spectacle [10] remains useful for understanding this condition. In "The Society of the Spectacle", Debord [10] argues that social relations are increasingly mediated through images and representations. Contemporary consumer space intensifies this logic. Large-scale installations, immersive environments, illuminated structures, and highly recognizable visual forms are often valued partly for their capacity to attract attention and generate circulation through digital media. A work may become successful not only because of its material or conceptual qualities, but because it produces images that travel efficiently across social platforms, advertising campaigns, and architectural publicity. Under these conditions, visibility itself becomes a form of value.

This does not mean that spectacle is inherently incompatible with serious artistic practice. Monumentality, theatricality, and visual intensity have long been part of sculpture and public art. The distinction lies in whether spectacle emerges from the artistic logic of the work or becomes an external requirement imposed upon it. When photographic impact, visitor numbers, or social-media reach become primary criteria of success, there is a risk that artistic complexity will be reduced to immediate visual recognition.

The question of publicness is equally important. Commercial spaces often appear highly accessible: shopping malls, hotels, retail districts, and mixed-use developments may receive far larger and more socially diverse audiences than many museums. From this perspective, the entry of art into consumer space can broaden public exposure and bring contemporary sculpture into everyday life. Yet such spaces remain privately managed and commercially regulated. Access, behavior, programming, and even the duration of an artwork may ultimately be determined by property owners, developers, or brand interests. The apparent openness of commercial space therefore does not necessarily correspond to the political or institutional openness associated with public space. This tension becomes especially visible when art is used to support place-making or urban redevelopment. A sculpture may contribute to a stronger sense of identity, create a landmark, and improve the cultural quality of an area. At the same time, the symbolic value generated by art can contribute to rising property prestige, increased commercial attention, and the repositioning of a district. In such cases, cultural value and real-estate value may become closely connected. The problem is not that art produces economic effects, but that these effects are often unevenly distributed. The cultural meaning of a site may be strengthened while the social groups originally associated with that site are displaced or excluded from the benefits of redevelopment.

Participation introduces another ambiguity. Consumer environments increasingly favor interactive and immersive works because they encourage visitors to remain longer, move through space, and share their experience. Yet interaction should not automatically be equated with meaningful participation. A visitor who activates a sensor, enters a light installation, or photographs themselves within a sculptural environment is certainly involved in the work, but this involvement may remain primarily experiential rather than social or critical. Claire Bishop's critique of participation [11] is relevant here because it questions the assumption that participation is inherently democratic or politically progressive. Within commercial contexts, interaction can just as easily function as a strategy for audience engagement.

The central issue, then, is not whether sculpture should enter commercial space, but under what conditions such integration takes place.

Art retains value within consumer environments partly because it is not fully reducible to advertising. Its cultural authority depends on maintaining a degree of ambiguity, autonomy, and critical distance. If a work becomes too closely aligned with commercial messaging, its meaning can collapse into branding; if it remains entirely disconnected from the site, it risks becoming decorative or irrelevant. The most productive projects often occupy a more difficult position, engaging with the architecture, audience, and identity of a commercial environment while preserving enough independence to offer meanings that exceed the objectives of the commissioner.

Curatorial practice is especially important in maintaining this balance. The curator can mediate

between the demands of visibility and the need for conceptual depth, between public accessibility and artistic complexity, and between the commercial identity of a site and the autonomy of the artist. In this sense, the critical role of curating does not disappear within consumer space. It becomes more necessary precisely because artistic, cultural, and economic values are increasingly intertwined. The integration of sculpture into consumer space should therefore be understood neither as the simple commercialization of art nor as the uncomplicated expansion of artistic publicness. It is a negotiated condition in which cultural production, spatial identity, spectacle, and commercial value overlap. The challenge lies in preserving the capacity of sculpture and installation to create more than attraction—to produce reflection, memory, and alternative ways of experiencing space even within environments fundamentally shaped by consumption.

7. Conclusion: From Sculptural Object to Curated Consumer Space

The movement of sculpture into consumer space should not be understood simply as a change of location. It reflects a broader transformation in both sculptural practice and the spatial logic of contemporary consumption. As sculpture gradually moved beyond the pedestal and the autonomous object, it became increasingly concerned with site, architecture, bodily experience, temporality, and circulation. Installation emerged from this expanded spatial logic, allowing sculpture to operate not only as an object within space but as a structure capable of shaping space itself.

At the same time, consumer environments have undergone a parallel transformation. Shopping centers, luxury retail spaces, hotels, real-estate developments, and mixed-use projects increasingly compete through cultural identity, experience, and symbolic distinction rather than through commercial function alone. Art has therefore become valuable not merely as decoration, but as a means of producing atmosphere, recognition, place identity, and cultural legitimacy. Sculpture and installation can transform standardized commercial environments into distinctive destinations and can contribute to the symbolic and experiential value of a site. This convergence also changes curatorial practice. Curating in consumer space is no longer limited to selecting and arranging finished works. It increasingly involves commissioning, spatial planning, artist selection, coordination with architects and developers, audience circulation, and the negotiation between artistic and commercial objectives. The curator therefore becomes a mediator among artistic production, architecture, institutional identity, public experience, and value creation.

The relationship between cultural and commercial value remains central to this process. Artistic interventions can generate cultural meaning, symbolic capital, visual identity, and public memory, all of which may contribute indirectly to brand differentiation and the perceived value of a property or commercial development. Yet this relationship is never entirely stable. Art retains cultural authority partly because it cannot be reduced completely to marketing. When visibility, social-media circulation, or commercial attraction become the dominant criteria of success, installation risks becoming spectacle rather than critical spatial practice. The transformation from sculpture to installation within consumer space should therefore be understood as a negotiated process rather than a linear progression. It reveals how artistic form responds to changing spatial, cultural, and economic conditions, while also showing how commercial environments increasingly depend on culture to construct identity and experience.

Ultimately, the most significant shift is from the production of sculptural objects toward the production of relationships. Contemporary sculpture increasingly operates through relations among material, site, architecture, body, image, institution, and capital. Curating, accordingly, becomes the practice through which these relations are organized. Consumer space is no longer simply a background for art; it has become one of the principal contexts in which the meaning, visibility, and value of contemporary sculpture are actively produced.

References

- [1] Krauss, Rosalind E. 1979. *Sculpture in the Expanded Field*. *October*, 8: 30–44.
- [2] Lefebvre, Henri. 1991. *The Production of Space*. Translated by Donald Nicholson-Smith. Oxford: Blackwell.
- [3] Baudrillard, Jean. 1998. *The Consumer Society: Myths and Structures*. Translated by Chris Turner. London: Sage Publications.
- [4] Judd, Donald. 1965. *Specific Objects*. *Arts Yearbook*, 8: 74–82.
- [5] Morris, Robert. 1966. *Notes on Sculpture*. *Artforum*, 4 (6): 42–44.

- [6] Fried, Michael. 1967. *Art and Objecthood*. *Artforum*, 5 (10): 12–23.
- [7] Kwon, Miwon. 2002. *One Place after Another: Site-Specific Art and Locational Identity*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- [8] Bishop, Claire. 2005. *Installation Art: A Critical History*. London: Tate Publishing.
- [9] Pine, B. Joseph II, and James H. Gilmore. 1999. *The Experience Economy: Work Is Theatre & Every Business a Stage*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- [10] Debord, Guy. 1994. *The Society of the Spectacle*. Translated by Donald Nicholson-Smith. New York: Zone Books.
- [11] Bishop, Claire. 2012. *Artificial Hells: Participatory Art and the Politics of Spectatorship*. London: Verso.