

Light and Shadow as Structural and Emotional Language in Realist Oil Painting: From Renaissance Chiaroscuro to Caravaggio and Contemporary Practice

Wang Yanliang, Chen Min

Shihezi Painting and Calligraphy Academy, Shihezi, Xinjiang, China

Abstract: *Light and shadow have never been merely technical devices for describing objects in oil painting. They influence how a viewer enters an image, where attention settles, how figures occupy space, and how a particular moment acquires emotional intensity. This paper examines the changing role of illumination from Renaissance painting to the Baroque period, with particular emphasis on Caravaggio's treatment of light and darkness. Rather than considering chiaroscuro simply as a method of modeling form, the paper understands it as a means of organizing the entire pictorial field. Through historical comparison, visual analysis, and practice-led investigation, it examines how Renaissance painters generally used light to clarify form and spatial relationships, while Baroque painters increasingly employed selective illumination to concentrate attention and heighten dramatic presence. Caravaggio's paintings are discussed as an important turning point in this development, particularly in relation to the interaction between illuminated figures and extensive areas of darkness. The paper then considers the relevance of these historical approaches to contemporary realist oil painting. The authors' studio experiments, involving controlled lighting, tonal reduction, and comparisons between direct observation and photographic references, provide a practical perspective on the subject. The research argues that the contemporary value of historical chiaroscuro lies not in reproducing an old style, but in understanding how light can become a structural and emotional principle within a painting.*

Keywords: *light and shadow; oil painting; Caravaggio; Baroque; realism; chiaroscuro; visual analysis*

1. Introduction

When looking at an object in ordinary life, we rarely think about the light that makes it visible. We simply see the object. Painting is different. The moment an artist begins to translate what is seen onto a two-dimensional surface, the question of light becomes inseparable from the question of how the image should be constructed.

A painter may observe exactly the same person, room, or landscape under the same lighting conditions and still produce very different paintings. One artist may preserve the overall distribution of illumination, while another may strengthen a particular highlight, deepen the surrounding darkness, or remove secondary information altogether. Such decisions demonstrate that painted light is not a neutral record of physical reality. It is already an interpretation.

This issue becomes particularly evident in the history of Western oil painting. Renaissance artists developed increasingly sophisticated methods for describing volume, spatial recession, and the relationship between figures and their surroundings. Light often contributed to the clarity and coherence of these systems. During the Baroque period, however, illumination was frequently treated in a more selective and dramatic manner. Rather than making everything equally available to the eye, painters could illuminate one figure, gesture, or facial expression while allowing other parts of the scene to recede into darkness.

Caravaggio occupies an important position in this development. His paintings are often associated with dramatic chiaroscuro, but the significance of his method extends beyond the contrast between black and white or light and dark. In his work, illumination determines what the viewer notices first, while darkness determines what can remain uncertain. The distribution of light consequently becomes part of the narrative structure.

This paper therefore asks a relatively simple question: what happens when light and shadow are treated not as effects within a painting but as a means of constructing the painting itself? The question is considered from both historical and practical perspectives. The discussion begins with the structural function of light and shadow, then examines the movement from Renaissance clarity toward Baroque drama, followed by a focused analysis of Caravaggio. The final sections relate these historical observations to contemporary realist oil painting and to the authors' studio practice.

The purpose is not to establish a complete history of Western chiaroscuro. Nor is it to suggest that contemporary painters should imitate Caravaggio. Instead, the historical material is used to examine a problem that remains active in painting today: how can illumination transform observation into an organized visual language?

2. Light and Shadow as Pictorial Structure

Light is one of the first things that determines how a painted object is read. Differences in brightness establish boundaries, separate planes, suggest turning surfaces, and help the viewer distinguish one form from another. But the role of light in painting extends considerably further than physical description.

Arnheim's discussion of visual perception provides a useful starting point. He emphasizes that seeing involves active organization rather than the passive reception of isolated visual information [1]. This is particularly relevant to painting because a picture does not contain everything that exists in front of the painter. The artist must decide which relationships deserve emphasis and which can be reduced.

A small illuminated area can therefore carry greater visual weight than a much larger dark area. A face may become the center of a composition because the surrounding values have been suppressed. A hand may attract attention simply because its light value is separated from a darker background. In these circumstances, illumination performs a compositional function.

The same principle applies to the construction of volume. Traditional oil-painting practice often distinguishes between light, half-tone, form shadow, reflected light, and cast shadow. These categories help explain how a three-dimensional object can be translated into paint. Yet the painter does not necessarily need to display all of these transitions with equal clarity. In fact, excessive description can sometimes weaken the overall structure.

This is one reason why realist painting should not be confused with photographic completeness. A photograph may preserve an enormous amount of incidental information, whereas a painting can deliberately remove information in order to strengthen the image. If a reflected light is too strong, for example, the shadow may lose its weight. If every object in the background is equally clear, the main figure may no longer dominate the composition.

For this reason, we regard light and shadow as operating on two interconnected levels. At the first level, they help describe what an object looks like. At the second, and perhaps more important, level, they establish relationships between different parts of the picture. They tell the viewer where to look, how long to look, and what should remain subordinate.

This distinction becomes especially useful when examining the historical development of chiaroscuro. The issue is not simply whether one painter used more contrast than another. The deeper question is what the contrast is doing inside the image.

3. From Renaissance Clarity to Baroque Concentration

Renaissance painting is often associated with order, proportion, spatial coherence, and the clear presentation of the represented subject. This does not mean that Renaissance artists used light in a uniform way. Their approaches varied considerably. Nevertheless, illumination frequently participated in an overall visual system in which figures, architecture, landscape, and spatial recession remained comparatively legible.

The viewer is often able to move through such an image gradually. One can examine the figures, observe the architectural setting, follow the recession of space, and discover secondary details without encountering large interruptions of visibility.

The Baroque period introduced a different kind of visual experience. Movement, instability,

emotional immediacy, and theatrical concentration became increasingly important. Wölfflin's distinction between Renaissance and Baroque remains useful in this context. His paired concepts describe a broad movement from linear clarity toward painterly relationships, from relatively stable organization toward greater dynamism, and from individually separated forms toward a more unified visual field [4].

Light was one of the means through which this change could be achieved.

Instead of allowing illumination to distribute attention relatively evenly, the painter could concentrate it. A figure might emerge from darkness at one side of the composition. A face could suddenly become visible while the surrounding environment remained indistinct. A gesture could be isolated by a narrow band of light.

Such an arrangement changes the experience of the viewer. The scene no longer seems to present all of its information at once. It begins to resemble a moment discovered in the middle of an event.

This also changes the meaning of darkness. Darkness is no longer simply the place where light does not reach. It becomes a deliberate visual space. What disappears into shadow may be physically present in the imagined scene, but it is withheld from the viewer. That withholding can create suspense, uncertainty, intimacy, or even psychological tension.

In this sense, the historical transition from Renaissance to Baroque involves a change in the status of visibility itself. The question is no longer only how accurately a painter can show the world. It is also how selectively the world can be shown.

4. Caravaggio: When Illumination Becomes Composition

Caravaggio provides perhaps the clearest example of this shift. His paintings are immediately recognizable for the powerful relationship between illuminated figures and deep surrounding darkness. Yet describing his work simply as “dramatic lighting” misses an essential point. The light does not sit on top of an already completed composition. It helps produce the composition in the first place.

Consider *The Calling of Saint Matthew*. The beam of light entering the dark interior does not illuminate the scene indiscriminately. It directs attention toward a small number of figures and, more importantly, toward the moment of recognition and response. Faces, hands, and gestures become especially significant because the light establishes a hierarchy among them.

A similar principle can be observed in *The Supper at Emmaus*. The illuminated figures appear unusually close to the viewer, while the surrounding darkness prevents the scene from becoming an exhaustive description of an interior. The viewer is encouraged to concentrate on the human action rather than on the completeness of the setting.

Schütze points to Caravaggio's combination of naturalism and daring lighting as a major source of the immediacy of his religious scenes [3]. This immediacy is important. The figures do not appear to belong exclusively to an idealized biblical past. Their physical presence, gestures, clothing, and interaction with light make the event feel almost encountered rather than merely represented.

The darkness surrounding these figures performs an equally important task. It removes competing information. When the background becomes nearly indistinguishable, the visible parts of the figure acquire greater force. A hand becomes a sign. A face becomes an event. A fold of cloth can contribute to the rhythm of the composition because it is one of the few forms allowed to remain visible.

Fried's analysis of Caravaggio places particular emphasis on the relationship between the painted moment, the viewer, and the sense of presence generated by the work [2]. This perspective helps explain why Caravaggio's chiaroscuro can feel so immediate. The contrast does not merely describe the figures; it affects the distance between the viewer and the depicted event.

For this reason, Caravaggio's importance to the present discussion is not reducible to the term “tenebrism” or to the intensity of his contrasts. His larger contribution is the integration of illumination into a complete pictorial structure. Light determines emphasis. Darkness eliminates distractions. The boundary between them establishes rhythm. Together, they determine how the narrative is encountered.

5. Looking at Paintings Through Value Structure

One practical way of examining light and shadow is to temporarily ignore color. When an image is reduced to a small number of value groups, the underlying organization becomes easier to recognize.

This method is particularly revealing when Renaissance and Baroque paintings are placed beside one another. In a more evenly illuminated composition, the viewer may encounter a broad range of visible information. Figures, architecture, and background elements can remain accessible simultaneously. The eye has considerable freedom to move across the image.

In a strongly Caravaggesque composition, the situation is different. The eye is more likely to be captured by one or two illuminated zones. Dark masses form a kind of visual enclosure around them. The painting can therefore appear simpler at first glance even though its internal organization may be highly deliberate.

The transition between light and shadow is also critical. A gradual transition can describe rounded volume and soft illumination. A sudden change in value can produce a sharper separation and increase tension. These boundaries function almost like lines in a drawing.

There is another distinction that matters to painters: form shadow and cast shadow. Form shadow results from the turning of a surface away from the light source, while cast shadow is produced when one form blocks light from reaching another surface. Their physical origins are different, but within a painting they interact. A cast shadow may echo the shape of a figure; a form shadow may establish the turning of the head; reflected light may either support or weaken the overall mass.

The painter must consequently make decisions about what to preserve and what to suppress. A common problem in realist painting is the tendency to describe every visible tonal change. Yet the more information that is retained, the less obvious the hierarchy of the image may become.

For us, the more useful question is therefore not “How strong is the light?” but “What does the light allow the viewer to understand?” Once this question is asked, illumination becomes connected to composition rather than isolated as a technical exercise.

6. Observation, Optical Technology, and the Painter's Decision

The history of painting cannot be separated completely from the history of visual technologies. Lenses, mirrors, artificial illumination, photography, projection, and digital imaging have all influenced the conditions under which artists observe the world.

However, the existence of a technology does not by itself constitute an artistic method. A camera can provide a reference, but it cannot determine what the painter should ultimately emphasize. The same photograph can lead to very different paintings depending on what the artist chooses to retain.

Caravaggio's working methods remain the subject of historical discussion, and it would be too speculative to reduce his paintings to a single optical explanation. What can be observed directly in the paintings is the extraordinary degree of control over illumination, viewpoint, and the distribution of visible information.

This distinction is relevant to contemporary realist painting. Today, a painter can photograph a model, change the lighting digitally, enlarge details, compare several viewpoints, or project an image onto a canvas. These possibilities can save time, but they also create a new danger: the painter may begin to regard the photographic image as the final visual authority.

Painting requires a different kind of decision-making. A photograph records a particular optical event. A painting can reconstruct that event according to the needs of the image.

For example, a painter may deepen a shadow because the photographed shadow is too weak to support the composition. A reflected light may be reduced because it makes the form appear too fragmented. A background object may be removed even though it was present in the original scene. None of these decisions necessarily make the painting less realistic. On the contrary, they may make the image more convincing as a painting.

We therefore find it useful to distinguish between using technology as a substitute for observation and using it as a tool for investigation. The first approach encourages copying. The second allows the artist to test possibilities.

This distinction also changes the way historical techniques can be studied. Reconstructing an old master's lighting arrangement is valuable only if the reconstruction leads to new understanding. The goal is not to reproduce the surface appearance of a seventeenth-century painting. The goal is to discover why a particular arrangement of light changes the way the image functions.

7. Studio Investigation: From Observation to Painted Structure

Our own painting practice provides a practical context in which these questions can be tested. We do not regard the studio experiments described here as attempts to reproduce Caravaggio. Rather, they are exercises designed to understand what happens when illumination is deliberately controlled from the beginning of the painting process.

The first step is to establish a relatively simple lighting situation, usually with one dominant light source. Instead of beginning with detailed drawing and local color, we first observe the largest relationships between light and dark. At this stage, the subject can almost be understood as a group of connected masses rather than as a collection of individual objects.

This initial reduction is useful because it reveals whether the composition works before details begin to accumulate. If the major light-dark relationship is weak, adding more information rarely solves the fundamental problem.

The second step is to alter the proportion between illuminated and dark areas. A figure surrounded by a large dark field immediately acquires a different character from the same figure placed within a broadly illuminated environment. The difference is not simply one of visibility. The figure seems more isolated, more concentrated, or more psychologically charged.

Conversely, when the illuminated area expands, the relationship between the figure and its surroundings becomes more important. The painting can become quieter and more descriptive because more of the environment participates in the visual experience.

These experiments have convinced us that light and shadow influence the emotional character of a painting before detailed facial expression or gesture is considered. The same subject can appear restrained, tense, intimate, distant, or dramatic simply because the value structure has changed.

A further comparison can be made between direct observation and photographic reference. A photograph is extremely useful because it preserves a particular instant and viewpoint. Nevertheless, the painting process begins precisely where the photograph stops being sufficient. The painter has to decide whether a highlight should remain, whether a reflected light should be suppressed, whether a shadow should extend farther, and whether an incidental object deserves to exist in the final image.

These decisions are not errors in relation to the photograph. They are part of the painter's interpretation.

This experience also changes the way we understand Caravaggio. What initially appears to be an effect of strong light and deep shadow becomes, through practical experimentation, a problem of selection. The crucial question is not how dark the dark area is. It is what the darkness allows the illuminated area to become.

8. Research Methodology

The research combines historical inquiry, comparative visual analysis, and practice-led investigation. These approaches are used together because the question of light and shadow cannot be fully addressed through historical description alone.

The historical component examines the changing role of illumination from Renaissance painting to the Baroque period. Rather than attempting to cover every painter or school, the study concentrates on the conceptual movement from relatively comprehensive visibility toward more selective illumination. Caravaggio is used as the principal case because his work makes this transformation particularly evident.

The visual-analysis component focuses on the internal organization of selected paintings. Attention is given to the size and distribution of major value masses, the direction of the light source, the relationship between illuminated and obscured forms, the treatment of edges, and the way these elements influence the viewer's attention.

The practice-led component comes from studio experiments. Lighting arrangements are constructed and observed through direct looking and photographic recording. Tonal studies are made before detailed painting begins, and different distributions of light and darkness are compared. The purpose is not to generate statistical evidence but to identify practical knowledge arising from the act of painting itself.

This methodology also requires some caution. The artists' own studio experience cannot be treated as objective proof of a historical claim. Personal practice is instead used as a reflective source. Historical evidence establishes the context; visual analysis identifies observable relationships; studio experimentation tests whether certain historical principles remain meaningful when applied to contemporary painting.

The three approaches therefore operate in a reciprocal manner. Historical painting raises questions for practice, practice exposes problems that may not be obvious from written sources, and visual analysis provides a way of comparing the two.

9. Light and Shadow in Contemporary Realist Painting

The relevance of chiaroscuro has not disappeared with the development of photography and digital imaging. If anything, contemporary visual culture has made the painter's choices more complicated. Images are now abundant, easily reproduced, and frequently consumed within seconds. Under these conditions, the organization of attention becomes increasingly important.

Contemporary realist painting does not need to reproduce Baroque darkness. Its subjects may be entirely different: streets, interiors, portraits, factories, landscapes, family life, or ordinary moments. Nevertheless, the principle of selective illumination remains useful.

Soft daylight can create a sense of calm and duration. Strong sunlight can produce a harder, more immediate visual rhythm. Artificial light may create emotional distance or a sense of isolation. A figure partly disappearing into shadow can introduce uncertainty without requiring an explicitly dramatic subject.

This is particularly significant in figure painting. The human figure contains many layers of information. Posture, gesture, facial expression, clothing, and the relationship between bodies can all contribute to meaning. Light can connect these elements or separate them.

For instance, a hand illuminated against a dark area can become more than an anatomically described hand. It may become a visual sign of action. A face partially obscured by shadow can retain ambiguity rather than giving the viewer a complete psychological explanation. In such cases, the shadow does not hide meaning; it helps create it.

This is one of the reasons historical study remains useful to a contemporary painter. The value of studying Renaissance and Baroque art is not that these styles provide ready-made formulas. Rather, they demonstrate that the treatment of reality has always involved selection.

A contemporary realist painter can therefore borrow ideas rather than appearances. Renaissance painting can offer lessons in structural clarity; Baroque painting can provide insight into concentration and movement; photography can offer precise observation; personal experience determines what these resources ultimately become.

The resulting language does not have to belong to any historical period. It can emerge from the interaction among them.

10. Discussion

The investigation leads to several observations.

The first is that light and shadow should be considered structural components of a painting. They establish hierarchy, organize space, describe volume, and create rhythm. Their function is therefore much broader than producing a sense of realistic illumination.

The second is that contrast does not possess a fixed emotional meaning. Strong contrast can create drama, but it can also create intimacy or concentration. Soft illumination can appear peaceful, yet in another context it may produce melancholy or uncertainty. The meaning of light depends on its

relationship to subject matter, composition, gesture, and the surrounding visual field.

The third observation concerns historical change. The movement from Renaissance to Baroque cannot be explained simply as an improvement in technique. It reflects changes in the way artists organized the relationship between image, event, and viewer. The increasing selectivity of illumination is part of this larger transformation.

The fourth concerns the relationship between historical research and studio practice. Reading about Caravaggio can explain his place in art history, but it does not fully explain what happens when a painter tries to control a light source, reduce a tonal field, or suppress a reflected light. Practical experimentation produces another kind of understanding.

This is where practice-led research becomes especially valuable for painting. The artist does not merely apply a historical theory to a new canvas. The act of painting can reveal whether the theory remains useful.

Caravaggio is consequently important here not because he provides a style to be copied, but because he offers an unusually clear example of what happens when one visual element becomes the organizing principle of an image. His paintings encourage a more fundamental question: if light determines what is seen, can the painter use it to determine what the painting means?

The answer is not always the same. It depends on the subject and on the artist's intention. Yet the question itself remains productive for contemporary realist practice.

11. Conclusion

Light and shadow are fundamental to oil painting, but their significance extends far beyond the description of physical illumination. They determine how forms are understood, how space is organized, how attention moves through an image, and how a painted moment acquires emotional weight.

The historical movement from Renaissance painting toward the Baroque demonstrates a particularly important change. Light increasingly became selective. Instead of simply making the represented world visible, it could determine which parts of that world mattered most. Caravaggio brought this principle to an extraordinary level of concentration. His figures emerge from darkness not simply because the contrast is visually striking, but because the contrast gives the image a hierarchy of attention.

For contemporary realist painting, the lesson is not to reproduce Caravaggio's dark backgrounds or dramatic illumination. Such imitation would reduce a complex historical achievement to a recognizable effect. What remains valuable is the underlying way of thinking: light can be designed, limited, redirected, and used to organize meaning.

The studio experiments discussed in this paper suggest that the same principle remains active today. Whether the starting point is direct observation, photography, or another visual technology, the painter must ultimately make choices that transform visual information into a coherent image.

In this sense, painting does not simply record what light reveals. It decides what should be revealed.

Light and shadow therefore function as a language between observation and interpretation. They connect the physical world with pictorial structure and connect pictorial structure with emotion. Historical research can help identify how this language developed, while contemporary practice allows the painter to test what it can still express. The continuing task of realist painting is not to reproduce the light of the past, but to discover what light can make visible—and what it can deliberately leave unseen.

References

- [1] Arnheim, R. (1974). *Art and Visual Perception: A Psychology of the Creative Eye* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.
- [2] Fried, M. (2010). *The Moment of Caravaggio*. Princeton University Press.
- [3] Schütze, S. (2015). *Caravaggio: The Complete Works*. TASCHEN.
- [4] Wölfflin, H. (1950). *Principles of Art History: The Problem of the Development of Style in Later Art*. Dover Publications.