ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

AI-Enabled Talent Development in German Universities and the Chinese Pathway: A Study of Mechanical Engineering Education Reform

Xinyao Cao, Shu Wu*, Qi Chen

Sino-German College, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Abstract: *The deployment of AI technologies in information retrieval systems brings significant opportunities for enhancing information retrieval and user experience. However, it also raises critical ethical considerations, including issues of transparency, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and accessibility. This study examines these ethical challenges, exploring their implications for both users and library systems. By analyzing case studies and existing frameworks, it identifies best practices for ensuring ethical AI implementation, such as promoting transparency in algorithmic decision-making, safeguarding user data, and designing inclusive systems for diverse user groups. The findings provide actionable recommendations for developers, librarians, and policymakers to balance technological advancement with ethical responsibility, fostering trust and equity in AI-driven library systems.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Mechanical Engineering Education, Curriculum Reform, German Higher Education, Chinese Universities, Pedagogical Innovation*

1. Introduction

The European Commission formally released the document entitled “Industry 5.0: Towards a Sustainable, Human-centric, and Resilient European Industry”on January 4, 2021, marking the launch of Industry 5.0. The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (Al) has redefined the mechanical engineering landscape, necessitating a shift toward Industry 5.0 where human-robot collaboration and sustainable innovation are paramount [1]. German universities, with their strong tradition of combining theoretical rigor with practical application through the dual education system, have emerged as notable exemplars in integrating AI capabilities into mechanical engineering programs. These institutions have developed frameworks that maintain disciplinary depth while incorporating computational thinking, machine learning applications, and data-driven decision-making into core curricula.

Chinese higher education institutions face distinct challenges and opportunities in pursuing similar transformations. The rapid expansion of China's higher education system over the past three decades has created a diverse landscape of universities with varying resources, institutional cultures, and connections to industry. While top-tier Chinese universities possess substantial technological infrastructure and research capabilities, the broader challenge involves scaling effective pedagogical innovations across institutions serving millions of engineering students annually [2]. The mechanical engineering discipline, which remains central to China's manufacturing ambitions and industrial upgrading strategies, requires graduates who can navigate both traditional engineering principles and emerging AI-enabled methodologies.

This study addresses three interconnected research questions. First, what specific mechanisms have German universities employed to integrate AI technologies into mechanical engineering education while preserving disciplinary integrity? Second, how do institutional structures, industry partnerships, and pedagogical cultures shape the implementation of AI-enabled learning environments? Third, what contextual factors must Chinese institutions consider when adapting successful international models to their specific educational ecosystems? These questions guide an analysis that moves beyond superficial technology adoption to examine the deeper structural and cultural dimensions of educational transformation.

The significance of this inquiry extends beyond bilateral comparison. As engineering education globally confronts the challenges posed by rapid technological change, understanding how different educational systems navigate these transitions provides valuable insights for institutions worldwide. The German emphasis on systematic integration and the Chinese focus on scalable implementation represent

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

complementary approaches that, when examined together, illuminate both possibilities and constraints in reforming engineering education for an AI-augmented future.

2. Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

The integration of artificial intelligence into engineering education marks a theoretical paradigm shift from tool-centric instruction to a human-centric approach aligned with Industry 5.0 principles. While traditional constructivist theories emphasized active learning through static problem-solving, the emergence of Generative AI necessitates a more dynamic educational model. This new paradigm, often termed "Education 5.0," prioritizes not just technical proficiency but also resilience, sustainability, and the ethical capacity for human-AI collaboration [3]. The concept of EPIC principal((Experiential, Paired, Inquiry-based, and Collective)) offers a framework for examining how engineering educators navigate the intersection of disciplinary expertise, pedagogical skill, and technological capability [4]. This framework proves particularly valuable when analyzing how mechanical engineering faculty integrate AI methods into their teaching while maintaining focus on fundamental engineering principles.

The German dual education system has evolved into a "Dual Study 4.0" model, providing a structural advantage for rapid AI competency acquisition. Unlike static academic models, this system has rapidly modernized its training regulations to adapt to Industry 4.0. This structural feature distinguishes German engineering education from systems that maintain sharper boundaries between academic learning and professional practice [5].

The "Opinions on Accelerating the Promotion of Education Digitalization" (2025) marks a critical new phase. This latest policy framework explicitly mandates the "Intelligent Transformation" of higher education, prioritizing the integration of AI across all educational elements—curriculum, teaching, and assessment—to cultivate students' higher-order thinking and adaptive innovation capabilities, moving beyond the previous focus on simple course additions [6]. Research indicates significant variation in implementation across institutions, with elite universities developing sophisticated AI-integrated programs while many regional institutions struggle with resource limitations and faculty development challenges.

Comparative studies of engineering education across national contexts emphasize that successful reform requires attention to institutional culture, regulatory frameworks, and labor market structures [7]. The German dual education system creates natural pathways for students to encounter AI applications in industrial settings, while Chinese institutions have developed alternative mechanisms such as industry-sponsored laboratories and capstone projects with corporate partners to bridge the gap between academic learning and professional practice.

Recent scholarship on AI in education distinguishes between AI as a subject of study and AI as a pedagogical tool, a distinction particularly relevant for engineering disciplines [8]. Mechanical engineering students require understanding of how AI algorithms function, when their application is appropriate, and how to interpret their outputs within engineering contexts. Simultaneously, AI-powered tools can enhance learning by providing personalized feedback, enabling complex simulations, and facilitating collaborative problem-solving. German universities have tended to emphasize the former dimension, ensuring students develop critical understanding of AI capabilities and limitations, while also selectively deploying AI tools where they demonstrably enhance learning outcomes.

The concept of digital competence in engineering education has evolved beyond basic computer literacy to encompass critical evaluation of computational methods, ethical reasoning about algorithmic decision-making, and creative application of digital tools to engineering challenges [9]. This expanded conception aligns with industry demands for engineers who can work effectively in increasingly digitalized and automated production environments. Learning factories in German technical universities provide environments where students encounter realistic production scenarios incorporating advanced automation and data analytics, developing both technical skills and professional judgment through hands-on experience [10].

Assessment practices in engineering education have come under scrutiny as programs integrate AI content and emphasize higher-order thinking skills [11]. When engineering programs seek to cultivate students' ability to apply AI tools critically and effectively, assessment methods must move beyond testing knowledge recall to evaluate performance in authentic engineering contexts. This shift presents both pedagogical opportunities and practical challenges, particularly in large programs where resource constraints favor standardized testing approaches.

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

3. AI Integration in German Mechanical Engineering Education

German technical universities have approached AI integration in mechanical engineering through systematic curriculum redesign rather than ad hoc technology adoption. The prevailing model involves identifying specific domains within mechanical engineering where AI applications have demonstrated practical value, then developing learning experiences that combine domain knowledge with computational methods. This approach is evident in the restructuring of courses related to manufacturing, design optimization, predictive maintenance, and robotics.

At RWTH Aachen University, the mechanical engineering curriculum now includes course sequences that introduce students to data-driven methods in engineering contexts. Initial courses cover fundamental concepts of statistical analysis, optimization algorithms, and machine learning principles, with all examples and exercises drawn from mechanical engineering applications. Subsequent courses allow students to specialize in areas such as intelligent manufacturing systems, where they learn to apply reinforcement learning to production scheduling, or computational design, where generative algorithms assist in creating optimized component geometries. This progression ensures that students encounter AI methods as tools for solving engineering problems rather than as abstract computational techniques.

TUM officially launched the systemic 'AI Strategy' (TUM-KI), a pioneering full-dimensional framework across research, teaching, and administration. Structured around four pillars, it uses flexible mechanisms like 'Plugin Modules' and 'Project Weeks' to integrate AI fundamentals into disciplines. For instance, engineering students can utilize AI tools to optimize design processes, while medical students can employ machine learning to analyze clinical data. This phased integration strategy ensures the timeliness of teaching content while avoiding the rigidity of the curriculum system [12].

Laboratory experiences have been substantially redesigned to incorporate AI-enabled equipment and data collection systems. Modern manufacturing laboratories at institutions like Karlsruhe Institute of Technology feature sensor-equipped machine tools that generate real-time data streams, which students analyze to identify patterns, predict tool wear, or optimize process parameters. These hands-on experiences demystify AI applications by showing students the complete workflow from data collection through model development to practical implementation. The learning factory concept provides realistic production environments where students encounter the complexity of implementing AI systems alongside other engineering considerations such as quality control, safety requirements, and economic constraints [10].

Faculty development has emerged as a critical enabler of effective AI integration. German universities have invested in workshops, collaborative teaching arrangements, and sabbatical programs that allow mechanical engineering faculty to develop competence with AI methods. Some institutions have created joint appointments between mechanical engineering and computer science departments, facilitating knowledge transfer and collaborative course development. These structural arrangements recognize that effective teaching of AI in engineering contexts requires both disciplinary expertise and computational literacy.

Assessment methods have evolved to reflect the changing nature of engineering work in AI-augmented environments. Traditional examinations testing recall of formulas and procedures have been supplemented with performance assessments where students must select appropriate analytical tools, interpret computational results, and justify their engineering decisions. Some programs allow students to use AI tools during examinations, shifting the focus from calculation to critical evaluation and application. This approach mirrors professional practice, where engineers routinely employ sophisticated software while maintaining responsibility for validating outputs and ensuring safety [11].

Industry collaboration shapes the practical orientation of AI integration efforts. German mechanical engineering programs maintain extensive partnerships with manufacturing firms, automotive companies, and industrial automation providers through advisory boards, sponsored research projects, and student internship programs. These connections ensure that curriculum content reflects actual industry practices and emerging technological trends. Companies increasingly communicate expectations that graduates should arrive with basic competence in data analysis and familiarity with AI applications relevant to mechanical engineering, reinforcing the imperative for curriculum reform.

The dual education system provides natural opportunities for students to encounter AI applications in industrial settings [5]. Students completing internships or cooperative education placements observe how companies deploy machine learning for quality control, use computer vision systems for automated inspection, or implement predictive maintenance algorithms. These experiences contextualize academic

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

learning and help students understand the organizational and technical challenges of implementing AI systems in production environments.

4. The Chinese Context and Reform Pathways

Chinese mechanical engineering education operates within a fundamentally different structural and policy environment than its German counterpart. The sheer scale of the Chinese higher education system, which enrolls over forty million students across approximately three thousand institutions, creates both opportunities and challenges for curriculum reform. The diversity among institutions ranges from elite research universities with world-class facilities to regional colleges serving local industries with limited resources [2]. Any pathway for AI integration must account for this heterogeneity rather than assuming uniform implementation across all institutions.

The "New Engineering" initiative, launched by the Ministry of Education in 2017, provides the overarching policy framework for engineering education reform in China. This initiative explicitly calls for integrating emerging technologies including artificial intelligence, big data, and internet of things into engineering curricula while strengthening interdisciplinary education and industry collaboration. The policy documents emphasize cultivating students' innovation capabilities and practical skills alongside theoretical knowledge. However, the translation of these broad policy objectives into specific curriculum changes varies substantially across institutions based on their resources, faculty expertise, and industry connections.

Elite Chinese universities have evolved from structural reforms to the deployment of generative AI learning ecosystems. Tsinghua University, for instance, established the MAIC platform, an intelligent system driven by Large Language Models and multi-agent collaboration. Exemplified by the course 'Towards General Artificial Intelligence,' this initiative aims to comprehensively enhance students' integrated competencies in the intelligent era by popularizing fundamental AI knowledge. Designed for students across diverse disciplines—including humanities, sciences, engineering, and medicine—this approach breaks the cognitive barrier between learners and technology. By fostering higher-order thinking and AI literacy through immersive interactions with autonomous agents, it transcends traditional laboratory work to create adaptive, personalized learning environments [15].

Shanghai Jiao Tong University has pursued a different approach through the creation of industry-sponsored research centers focused on intelligent manufacturing. These centers serve dual purposes as research facilities and teaching laboratories where students participate in projects addressing real industrial challenges. Corporate partners provide funding, equipment, and technical expertise while gaining access to research outcomes and recruiting opportunities. This model creates authentic learning experiences where students encounter the complexity of implementing AI systems in production environments, including challenges related to data quality, system integration, and organizational change.

Regional universities face more substantial obstacles in implementing AI-integrated curricula. Faculty at these institutions often lack exposure to current AI technologies and pedagogical methods for teaching computational concepts to engineering students. Laboratory equipment may be outdated, and connections to technologically advanced companies are limited. Some regional institutions have addressed these challenges through partnerships with leading universities, participating in consortium arrangements that provide access to curriculum materials, online learning resources, and faculty development programs. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms varies considerably, and significant gaps persist between elite institutions and the broader system.

Chinese mechanical engineering programs have traditionally emphasized theoretical knowledge and examination performance, a legacy of the Gaokao system and cultural values regarding education. Reforming pedagogy to incorporate more project-based learning, collaborative problem-solving, and open-ended design challenges requires shifts in both faculty practice and student expectations. Some universities have experimented with flipped classroom models, where students engage with content through online materials before class and use class time for active learning activities. These pedagogical innovations support the development of higher-order thinking skills necessary for effective use of AI tools, though implementation remains uneven across the system.

Assessment reform represents another critical dimension of curriculum change. Traditional Chinese engineering education has relied heavily on written examinations testing memorization and procedural knowledge. As programs integrate AI content and emphasize application skills, assessment methods must evolve to evaluate students' ability to select appropriate tools, interpret results critically, and make sound

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

engineering judgments. Some institutions have introduced portfolio assessments, where students document their learning through projects and reflections, or performance-based evaluations that simulate professional engineering tasks [13]. However, large class sizes and limited teaching assistant support create practical constraints on implementing these assessment approaches at scale.

Industry collaboration in China has expanded significantly in recent years, though it differs in character from the German dual education model. Chinese universities increasingly establish industry colleges, joint laboratories, and internship programs with manufacturing companies, technology firms, and government research institutes. These partnerships provide students with exposure to industrial practice and companies with access to talent and research capabilities [14]. However, the depth and educational value of these collaborations vary, with some providing substantive learning experiences while others remain primarily symbolic.

The rapid development of China's AI industry creates both opportunities and challenges for mechanical engineering education. On one hand, the proliferation of AI applications in manufacturing, robotics, and product development demonstrates the practical relevance of integrating these technologies into engineering curricula. On the other hand, the fast pace of technological change makes it difficult for educational institutions to keep curricula current, and the competition for talent between industry and academia complicates faculty recruitment and retention [2].

5. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into mechanical engineering education represents a complex transformation that extends beyond technology adoption to encompass curriculum design, pedagogical practice, faculty development, and institutional culture. German universities have demonstrated that effective AI integration maintains disciplinary integrity while developing students' capabilities to work with computational tools, emphasizes critical thinking and engineering judgment alongside technical skills, and connects academic learning with industrial practice through systematic workplace experiences. These principles offer valuable guidance for Chinese institutions pursuing similar goals within their distinct educational context.

Chinese mechanical engineering education faces both opportunities and challenges in this transformation. The policy support embodied in the "New Engineering" initiative, the rapid development of China's AI industry, and the substantial investments in higher education infrastructure create favorable conditions for reform. However, the scale and diversity of the Chinese system, the competition for AI-capable faculty, and the need for pedagogical and cultural shifts alongside curriculum changes present significant obstacles. Effective reform pathways must account for these contextual factors rather than attempting direct replication of foreign models.

The comparative analysis presented in this study suggests that successful AI integration requires attention to multiple dimensions simultaneously. Curriculum structure, pedagogical methods, assessment approaches, faculty capabilities, industry connections, and institutional culture all shape implementation outcomes. Reforms that address only one dimension while neglecting others are unlikely to achieve lasting transformation. Moreover, the rapidly evolving nature of AI technology means that educational institutions must develop adaptive capabilities rather than static curriculum designs, building structures that can incorporate new developments as they emerge.

The experiences of German and Chinese institutions illuminate both universal principles and context-specific considerations in engineering education reform. While the specific mechanisms differ, both systems grapple with fundamental questions about how to balance traditional disciplinary knowledge with emerging computational methods, how to develop faculty capabilities to teach effectively in evolving technological landscapes, and how to ensure that graduates possess the competencies required for professional practice. These shared challenges suggest opportunities for international collaboration and knowledge exchange, even as institutions adapt innovations to their particular contexts.

The pathway forward for Chinese mechanical engineering education involves neither wholesale adoption of foreign models nor preservation of traditional approaches unchanged. Instead, effective reform requires thoughtful adaptation of successful international practices to Chinese contexts, recognition of institutional diversity and differential capabilities across the system, sustained investment in faculty development and pedagogical innovation, and patience with the iterative process of educational change. The stakes are substantial, as the engineers educated today will shape China's technological capabilities and industrial competitiveness for decades to come. Meeting this challenge demands

ISSN 2522-6398 Vol. 9, Issue 2: 8-13, DOI: 10.25236/FER.2026.090202

sustained commitment from universities, government, and industry working in concert to reimagine engineering education for an AI-augmented future.

Acknowledgment

This research was funded by the Fund of Shanghai Education Science Research Project (C2024196); The Ministry of Education's Industry University Cooperation Collaborative Education Project (231101913174352); The Ministry of Education's Supply-Demand Docking Employment and Education Project (2023123031821); Funds of Shanghai for Science and Technology (YLKC202424443, CFTD2025ZD16, SH2025268, SH2025265, XJ2025564), 2025 "Social Innovation and Education Integration" Community Education Innovation Project(Grant No. SCRJ20250501005).

References

[1] Xu, X., Lu, Y., Vogel-Heuser, B., & Wang, L. (2021). Industry 4.0 and Industry 5.0—Inception, conception and perception. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 61, 530–535
[2] Marginson, S. (2016). Higher education and the common good. Melbourne University Press.
[3] Patel, A., Parikh, P. A., & Shah, P. A. (2025). Industrial engineering in the age of Industry 5.0: Bridging technology, humanity, and sustainability. American Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, 10(6), 128–144.
[4] Ang, H. Q., & Domingo, B. (2025). An Innovative and Universal Teaching Model in Engineering Education to Foster Industry 5.0 Skills—EPIC Principles. Education Sciences, 15(9), 1096.
[5] Yang, C., Kaiser, F., Tang, H., Chen, P., & Diao, J. (2023). Sustaining the Quality Development of German Vocational Education and Training in the Age of Digitalization: Challenges and Strategies. Sustainability, 15(4), 3845.
[6] Ministry of Education of the PRC, et al. (2025). Opinions on Accelerating the Promotion of Education Digitalization. Beijing: Ministry of Education.
[7] Borrego, M., & Bernhard, J. (2011). The emergence of engineering education research as an internationally connected field of inquiry. Journal of Engineering Education, 100(1), 14-47.
[8] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
[9] Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu. Publications Office of the European Union.
[10] Abele, E., Metternich, J., Tisch, M., Chryssolouris, G., Sihn, W., ElMaraghy, H., Hummel, V., & Ranz, F. (2015). Learning factories for research, education, and training. Procedia CIRP, 32, 1-6.
[11] Biggs, J. B., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
[12] Ling, H. (2025). Technical University of Munich: Creating a whole-school AI environment for AI talent cultivation. Shanghai Education, (17).
[13] Carless, D. (2015). Excellence in university assessment: Learning from award-winning practice. Routledge.
[14] Perkmann, M., Tartari, V., McKelvey, M., Autio, E., Broström, A., D'Este, P., Fini, R., Geuna, A., Grimaldi, R., Hughes, A., Krabel, S., Kitson, M., Llerena, P., Lissoni, F., Salter, A., & Sobrero, M. (2013). Academic engagement and commercialisation: A review of the literature on university-industry relations. Research Policy, 42(2), 423-442.
[15] Yu, J., Hao, Z., Liu, Z., Liu, H., & Zhang, Y. (2025). "AI teaching AI": Exploration of generative AI-empowered teaching at Tsinghua University. Fudan Education Forum, 23(4).(In Chinese)