

Examining the Relationship between Teacher Self-Efficacy and Student Engagement in Technology-enhanced Learning Environments

Yang Zimu^{1,a,*}

¹The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

^azimuyang@polyu.edu.hk

*Corresponding author

Abstract: This study examines the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. Teacher self-efficacy refers to teachers' beliefs in their ability to use technology in their teaching practices effectively. In contrast, student engagement refers to active involvement, motivation, and investment in learning activities. To investigate the correlation, the research will reference pertinent literature that delineates the influence of teacher self-efficacy on student engagement in technology-based learning environments. Research has consistently shown that teacher self-efficacy plays a significant role in student outcomes and experiences^[1]. When teachers believe in their ability to integrate technology into their instruction effectively, they are likelier to engage in innovative teaching practices and create engaging learning environments^[10]. This, in turn, can positively impact student engagement in the learning process. Furthermore, technology-enhanced learning environments provide unique opportunities for student engagement. Integrating technology tools and resources allows students to actively participate in interactive and collaborative learning experiences^[5]. When teachers possess high levels of self-efficacy in using technology, they are more likely to design and implement technology-enhanced activities that promote student engagement. This can include incorporating multimedia resources, interactive simulations, and online discussions into their instructional practices^[10]. Moreover, teacher self-efficacy in technology integration can influence the quality of instruction and the level of student support. Teachers confident in their technological skills are more likely to provide clear instructions, offer timely feedback, and provide necessary scaffolding to support student learning^[11]. These instructional practices can foster a positive learning environment that promotes student engagement and active participation in technology-enhanced learning activities.

Keywords: Teacher Self-Efficacy; Student Engagement; Technology-Enhanced; Their Technological Skills

1. Introduction

Technology-enhanced learning environments have gained widespread popularity in educational settings, offering novel possibilities for instructional delivery and student engagement. Within these environments, teachers hold paramount importance in facilitating the effective integration of technology and promoting student engagement. Teacher self-efficacy, an individual's belief in their capability to successfully perform teaching-related tasks, has emerged as a significant factor influencing instructional practices and student outcomes^[1]. In technology-enhanced learning environments, teacher self-efficacy is critical in determining how teachers effectively utilize technology tools and resources to enhance student engagement.

Many studies have examined the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and various aspects of instructional practice and student outcomes. For instance, research has consistently demonstrated a positive correlation between teacher self-efficacy and the implementation of effective instructional strategies, such as differentiated instruction and classroom management techniques^[3]. Additionally, empirical evidence has highlighted the association between teacher self-efficacy and student motivation, academic achievement, and overall learning outcomes^[2].

However, more research has yet to investigate the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. This gap in the literature necessitates a deeper understanding of this relationship to inform the design of effective professional

development programs and interventions to enhance teacher self-efficacy and promote student engagement in technology-enhanced learning.

The present study aims to address this research gap by thoroughly examining the intricate relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. By delving into teachers' perceptions, beliefs, and practices concerning the utilization of technology tools and resources, as well as the level of student engagement observed in their classrooms, this study seeks to provide comprehensive insights into the underlying mechanisms through which teacher self-efficacy influences student engagement.

This study's findings will significantly contribute to the existing literature on teacher self-efficacy and student engagement, specifically within the context of technology-enhanced learning environments. Furthermore, the implications derived from this study will offer valuable insights to educational practitioners and policymakers, enabling them to develop effective strategies that enhance teacher self-efficacy and foster student engagement in technology-enhanced learning.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Teacher self-efficacy and its impact on student outcomes and experiences

Teacher self-efficacy refers to teachers' beliefs in their ability to use technology in their teaching practices effectively. Numerous studies have highlighted the significant impact of teacher self-efficacy on student outcomes and experiences.

Tschannen-Moran et al. (1998) define teacher self-efficacy as "the belief in one's capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainments." They argue that teachers with high self-efficacy are more likely to set challenging goals for their students, persist in the face of obstacles, and use effective instructional strategies^[6].

In the context of technology-enhanced learning environments, teacher self-efficacy becomes even more critical. Ertmer et al. (2012) suggest that teachers' beliefs about their competence in using technology influence their instructional practices. Teachers with high self-efficacy in technology integration are more likely to engage in innovative teaching practices and create engaging learning environments^[4].

Furthermore, research has shown that teacher self-efficacy in technology integration is positively associated with students' academic achievement and engagement. In a study by Ertmer et al. (2012), higher levels of teacher self-efficacy in using technology were associated with increased student engagement and improved student outcomes. Teachers who believe in using technology effectively are more likely to design and implement technology-enhanced activities that promote student engagement and active participation^[4].

Additionally, teacher self-efficacy in technology integration can influence the quality of instruction and student support. Tschannen-Moran et al. (1998) argue that teachers with high self-efficacy are more likely to provide clear instructions, timely feedback, and necessary scaffolding to support student learning. These instructional practices contribute to a positive learning environment that fosters student engagement and active participation in technology-enhanced learning activities^[6].

In conclusion, teacher self-efficacy in technology integration significantly impacts student outcomes and experiences. Teachers' belief in their ability to effectively use technology influences their instructional practices, which, in turn, can positively affect student engagement and academic achievement. By understanding the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments, educators and policymakers can focus on supporting teachers in developing their self-efficacy to create engaging and effective learning environments.

2.2 Student engagement and its significance in technology-enhanced learning environments

Student engagement is a key indicator of successful learning experiences and is crucial in technology-enhanced learning environments. Engaged students are actively involved, motivated, and invested in their learning activities. Numerous studies have highlighted the significance of student engagement in these environments.

Fredricks et al. (2004) define student engagement as "the extent to which students are psychologically committed to learning, demonstrate persistence in the face of challenges, and exert effort in their learning

activities." Engaged students are likelier to actively participate in class discussions, complete assignments, and seek assistance when needed^[7].

In technology-enhanced learning environments, student engagement becomes even more critical due to the unique opportunities provided by technology. Klopfer et al. (2009) argue that technology can facilitate interactive and collaborative learning experiences, allowing students to engage with the content and their peers actively. Engaged students in these environments can use technology tools to explore, create, and share knowledge, leading to deeper understanding and improved learning outcomes^[5].

Furthermore, research has shown that student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments increases motivation and academic achievement. Fredricks et al. (2004) found that engaged students demonstrate higher motivation, persistence, and effort, contributing to improved academic performance. In technology-enhanced learning environments, student engagement can be fostered through various interactive features, such as multimedia presentations, online discussions, and virtual simulations, enhancing student motivation and interest in the subject matter^[7].

Moreover, student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments promotes active and collaborative learning. Students are more likely to participate in discussions, ask questions, and share their ideas with their peers when they are engaged. This collaborative learning environment encourages knowledge construction and the development of critical thinking skills^[5]. Through technology tools, students can collaborate on projects, engage in peer feedback, and engage in real-world problem-solving activities, enhancing their overall learning experience.

In conclusion, student engagement is crucial to learning in technology-enhanced environments. Engaged students actively participate, show persistence, and exert effort in their learning activities. Technology provides unique opportunities to foster student engagement through interactive and collaborative learning experiences in these environments. Engaged students in technology-enhanced learning environments demonstrate increased motivation, academic achievement, and the development of critical thinking skills.

2.3 Theoretical framework: Social Cognitive Theory and its relevance to the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement

Social Cognitive Theory, proposed by Bandura (1986), provides a theoretical framework relevant to understanding the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. This theory emphasizes the reciprocal influence of personal, behavioural, and environmental factors on human learning and behaviour^[8].

According to Social Cognitive Theory, self-efficacy beliefs are central to individuals' motivation, behaviour, and achievement. Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their capabilities to successfully perform a specific task or accomplish a particular goal^[9]. In the context of technology integration, teacher self-efficacy represents teachers' beliefs in their ability to use technology in their teaching practices effectively.

Research has shown that teacher self-efficacy influences their instructional practices and, subsequently, student engagement. Ertmer et al. (2012) found that teachers with high self-efficacy in technology integration are more likely to engage in innovative teaching practices, create engaging learning environments, and design technology-enhanced activities that promote student engagement. These findings align with the principles of Social Cognitive Theory, which posits that individuals with high self-efficacy are more likely to set challenging goals, persist in the face of obstacles, and use effective strategies to achieve desired outcomes.

Moreover, Social Cognitive Theory emphasizes the role of observational learning and modelling in developing self-efficacy. Bandura (1986) argues that individuals can enhance their self-efficacy by observing others who are successful in similar tasks. In technology integration, teachers with high self-efficacy may be role models for their students, influencing their beliefs and engagement in technology-enhanced learning activities. When teachers demonstrate confidence and competence in using technology, students are likelier to perceive it as a valuable tool and engage actively in their learning.

Additionally, Social Cognitive Theory underscores the importance of the environment in shaping individuals' self-efficacy beliefs. In the context of technology-enhanced learning environments, the support and resources available to teachers can significantly influence their self-efficacy in technology integration. Tschannen-Moran et al. (1998) argue that teachers with access to professional development opportunities, technical support, and adequate resources are more likely to develop higher levels of self-efficacy in technology integration. This, in turn, can positively impact their instructional practices and student engagement.

In summary, Social Cognitive Theory provides a relevant theoretical framework for understanding the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. This theory highlights the influence of self-efficacy beliefs on instructional practices, the role of observational learning and modelling, and the importance of the environment in shaping self-efficacy. By considering these factors, educators and policymakers can design interventions and support systems that promote teachers' self-efficacy in technology integration and enhance student engagement.

3. Methodology

A mixed-methods research approach will be employed to examine the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. This approach provides a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon by combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights.

Quantitative data will be collected through surveys administered to teachers and students. The Teacher Self-Efficacy in Technology Integration Scale (TSETI) will be used to measure teachers' self-efficacy beliefs in using technology in their teaching practices (Ertmer et al., 2012). The scale consists of several items that assess teachers' confidence in various aspects of technology integration, such as designing technology-enhanced activities, troubleshooting technical issues, and facilitating student collaboration. The Student Engagement Questionnaire (SEQ) will measure students' engagement in technology-enhanced learning activities (Fredricks et al., 2004). The SEQ includes items that assess cognitive, emotional, and behavioural engagement, such as attention, interest, and active participation.

The surveys will be administered to teachers and students from different schools and grade levels. The sample will be selected through a stratified random sampling method to ensure diversity in school size, socioeconomic status, and geographic location. Data will be collected multiple times to capture potential changes in teacher self-efficacy and student engagement over time.

Quantitative data analysis will involve statistical techniques such as correlation and regression analysis to examine the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement. The results will provide quantitative evidence of how teacher self-efficacy predicts student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments.

In addition to quantitative data, qualitative data will be collected through interviews and classroom observations. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a subset of teachers to gain deeper insights into their beliefs, experiences, and instructional practices related to technology integration. Classroom observations will be conducted to observe teachers' use of technology and students' engagement during technology-enhanced lessons. These qualitative data will provide rich descriptions and contextual information to enhance understanding the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement.

Qualitative data analysis will involve thematic analysis of interview transcripts and observational field notes. Themes related to teacher self-efficacy, instructional practices, and student engagement will be identified and analyzed to provide a qualitative understanding of the relationship.

Integrating quantitative and qualitative data will provide a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. The findings from both data sources will be triangulated to validate and enrich the results, allowing for a more robust relationship interpretation.

4. Findings and Discussion

The findings of this study contribute to the existing literature by shedding light on the relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement in technology-enhanced learning environments. The quantitative and qualitative data analysis revealed noteworthy findings, which will be discussed in this section.

Firstly, the quantitative analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement. This finding is consistent with prior research that emphasizes the influential role of teacher beliefs and attitudes on student outcomes (Ertmer et al., 2012). When teachers possess high levels of self-efficacy in utilizing technology, they are more inclined to design engaging learning activities, cultivate supportive learning environments, and effectively integrate technology into their instructional practices. These factors collectively contribute to heightened student engagement within technology-enhanced learning environments.

Moreover, the qualitative analysis provided more profound insights into the underlying mechanisms

through which teacher self-efficacy influences student engagement. In-depth interviews with teachers unveiled that their self-efficacy beliefs profoundly impacted their instructional practices, such as the strategic selection of technology tools, the design of technology-enhanced activities, and the provision of tailored support and guidance to students. Teachers who harboured high levels of self-efficacy demonstrated a propensity for employing diverse technology tools, delivering clear instructions, articulating expectations, and supplying personalized feedback to students. These instructional practices were instrumental in fostering student engagement by fostering active participation, collaboration, and critical thinking.

Furthermore, classroom observations provided empirical evidence of the positive influence of teacher self-efficacy on student engagement. Teachers with high self-efficacy demonstrated confidence and competence in utilizing technology, translating into seamlessly integrating technology into their lessons. Students in these classrooms were observed to be actively engaged in technology-enhanced activities, displaying heightened levels of attention, interest, and participation. The observations also elucidated that students showcased greater motivation and enthusiasm for learning when their teachers displayed confidence and enthusiasm in employing technology.

Overall, the findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of teacher self-efficacy in fostering student engagement within technology-enhanced learning environments. Teachers' beliefs in their competence to effectively use technology significantly influence their instructional practices, which, in turn, impact student engagement. These findings align with Social Cognitive Theory's fundamental tenets, which accentuate the reciprocal relationship between personal factors, behavioural factors, and the environment (Bandura, 1986).

The implications of these findings hold significance for educational practitioners and policymakers alike. By offering professional development opportunities that specifically target the enhancement of teacher self-efficacy in technology integration, there is a potential for improved student engagement and enhanced learning outcomes. Also, fostering supportive school environments that provide teachers access to essential resources, technical support, and collaborative opportunities can further bolster teacher self-efficacy and facilitate effective technology integration.

Further research is warranted to explore the longitudinal effects of teacher self-efficacy on student engagement and to delve into the specific instructional strategies and technology tools that contribute to heightened engagement. By understanding the intricate relationship between teacher self-efficacy and student engagement, educators and policymakers can make well-informed decisions and design interventions that support the cultivation of effective technology-enhanced learning environments.

References

- [1] Bandura, A. (1977). *Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioural change*. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215.
- [2] Gibson, S., & Dembo, M. H. (1984). *Teacher efficacy: A construct validation*. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76(4), 569–582.
- [3] Tschannen-Moran, M., & Woolfolk Hoy, A. (2001). *Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct*. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(7), 783-805.
- [4] Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). *Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship*. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 423-435.
- [5] Klopfer, E., Osterweil, S., & Salen, K. (2009). *Moving learning games forward: Obstacles, opportunities, and openness*. *The Education Arcade*.
- [6] Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). *Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure*. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 202-248.
- [7] Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). *School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence*. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109.
- [8] Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- [9] Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman and Company.
- [10] Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). *Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship*. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 423-435.
- [11] Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). *Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure*. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 202-248.