

Examining the Impact of Family Social Resources on Career Paths: An Intergenerational Perspective

Yan Li

School of Education and Psychological Science, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong, Sichuan, 643000, China
psyliyan@suse.edu.cn

Abstract: *This study examines the impact of family social resources, including parental education, occupation, and social networks, on individual career paths from an intergenerational perspective. Drawing on social capital theory and intergenerational transmission theory, we hypothesize that individuals from families with higher levels of social resources will have more advantageous career outcomes compared to those from families with lower levels of social resources. We also expect to find evidence of the intergenerational transmission of social capital, with children's career outcomes being positively associated with the social resources of their parents. Employing a cross-sectional design and a diverse sample of 532 parent-child dyads ($N = 1,064$), we conduct multivariate analyses to test the relationships between family social resources and career path indicators, such as occupational status, job satisfaction, and income. The results reveal significant positive associations between parental education, occupation, and social networks and child career outcomes, even after controlling for relevant demographic variables. Structural equation modeling demonstrates the intergenerational transmission of social resources, with parental resources having significant direct and indirect effects on child career outcomes through child education level. These findings contribute to the understanding of the mechanisms through which family background influences career trajectories and highlight the importance of considering the role of social capital in the reproduction of social inequality across generations. Practical implications for promoting social mobility and equal opportunities in the labor market are discussed.*

Keywords: *Social Capital, Intergenerational Transmission, Career Paths, Occupational Status, Social Inequality, Family Background, Education, Social Networks*

1. Introduction

Family social resources, including parental education, occupation, and social networks, have been recognized as crucial factors influencing an individual's career decision-making process ^[1]. These resources, often referred to as family social capital, provide individuals with access to information, opportunities, and support that can shape their career trajectories ^[2]. The intergenerational transmission of these resources has been a topic of increasing interest, as it helps explain the reproduction of social inequalities and the perpetuation of occupational segregation across generations ^[3].

Investigating the intergenerational transmission of social capital is crucial for understanding the mechanisms through which family background influences career outcomes ^[4]. By examining how social resources are passed down from parents to children, researchers can gain insights into the processes that contribute to the maintenance of social stratification and the persistence of occupational inequality ^[5]. Moreover, understanding the role of family social capital in shaping career paths can inform policies and interventions aimed at promoting social mobility and equal opportunities in the labor market ^[6].

The primary objective of this study is to examine the impact of family social resources on career paths from an intergenerational perspective. Specifically, we aim to investigate how parental education, occupation, and social networks influence the occupational aspirations, educational attainment, and career outcomes of their children. We hypothesize that individuals from families with higher levels of social resources will have more advantageous career paths, characterized by higher occupational status, job satisfaction, and income, compared to those from families with lower levels of social resources. Furthermore, we expect to find evidence of the intergenerational transmission of social capital, with children's career outcomes being positively associated with the social resources of their parents.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Social Capital Theory

Social capital theory, as conceptualized by Bourdieu (1986) ^[7] and Coleman (1988) ^[8], provides a framework for understanding the role of social resources in shaping individual outcomes. Social capital refers to the resources embedded within social networks, which can be accessed and mobilized by individuals to achieve specific goals ^[2]. These resources include information, influence, and support, which can be instrumental in facilitating career success ^[9]. Social capital can be further divided into three dimensions: structural (the pattern of connections between actors), relational (the quality of relationships), and cognitive (shared codes and narratives) ^[10].

In the context of career development, social capital theory suggests that individuals with access to valuable social resources are more likely to experience favorable career outcomes ^[11]. For example, strong ties with influential contacts can provide job seekers with insider information about job openings, recommendations, and sponsorship ^[12]. Moreover, individuals embedded in resource-rich networks are more likely to receive career guidance, mentoring, and emotional support, which can enhance their career self-efficacy and adaptability ^[13]. Empirical studies have consistently shown positive associations between social capital and various career outcomes, such as job search success, promotions, and salary growth ^[14].

2.2 Intergenerational Transmission Theory

Intergenerational transmission theory focuses on the processes through which resources, values, and behaviors are passed down from parents to children ^[15]. This transmission can occur through various mechanisms, including parental modeling, direct teaching, and the provision of opportunities and support ^[16]. The theory posits that children internalize their parents' resources and use them as a foundation for their own development and attainment ^[7].

Applying intergenerational transmission theory to the study of social capital, researchers have examined how parental social resources are transferred to children and how they influence children's outcomes ^[17]. For instance, parents with extensive social networks and high occupational status can provide their children with access to valuable contacts, information, and opportunities, which can shape their educational and career trajectories ^[18]. Moreover, parents can transmit social skills, norms, and values that facilitate the formation and maintenance of social relationships, thus enhancing their children's ability to accumulate social capital ^[19].

3. Methodology

3.1 Research design

This study employs a quantitative research approach to examine the impact of family social resources on career paths from an intergenerational perspective. Quantitative methods allow for the systematic collection and analysis of numerical data, enabling the identification of patterns and relationships between variables ^[20]. This approach is well-suited for testing hypotheses and generalizing findings to larger populations ^[21].

The research design is cross-sectional, meaning that data will be collected from participants at a single point in time ^[22]. While longitudinal designs are ideal for studying intergenerational processes, cross-sectional studies can still provide valuable insights into the relationships between family social resources and career outcomes across generations ^[4]. The cross-sectional design allows for the comparison of different generations and the examination of the intergenerational transmission of social capital.

3.2 Sample collection

The target population for this study consists of adult individuals (aged 18 and above) from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. This population is chosen to ensure a wide range of family social resources and career paths are represented in the sample. Including participants from various age groups will allow for the examination of intergenerational processes and the comparison of different generations.

To be included in the study, participants must be at least 18 years old and have at least one parent

who is willing to participate in the study. Participants should also be currently employed or have a history of employment. Individuals who do not meet these criteria will be excluded from the study.

A stratified random sampling method will be used to ensure adequate representation of different socioeconomic groups^[23]. The population will be divided into strata based on key demographic variables (e.g., income, education level), and a random sample will be drawn from each stratum. This approach helps to improve the representativeness of the sample and allows for comparisons across different subgroups.

The sample size will be determined using power analysis, considering the desired level of statistical power, significance level, and expected effect sizes^[24]. A sample size of at least 500 parent-child dyads will be targeted to ensure sufficient statistical power and to account for potential attrition.

3.3 Data collection procedures

A comprehensive survey will be developed to assess family social resources, career paths, and relevant demographic variables. The survey will include validated scales and measures adapted from previous studies^[9]. The survey will be piloted with a small sample to ensure clarity, validity, and reliability of the measures.

The survey will be administered online using a secure survey platform (e.g., Qualtrics). Participants will receive an invitation email with a unique link to the survey. The online format allows for efficient data collection and ensures participant anonymity.

Ethical approval will be obtained from the relevant institutional review board (IRB) before commencing data collection. Participants will be provided with an informed consent form outlining the study's purpose, procedures, risks, and benefits. Participation will be voluntary, and participants will be free to withdraw at any time without consequence. Data will be kept confidential and stored securely in accordance with data protection regulations (e.g., GDPR).

3.4 Measures

3.4.1 Family social resources

Parental education will be measured using the highest level of education attained by each parent, coded as years of schooling^[4].

Parental occupation will be assessed using the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-08)^[25]. Occupations will be coded into major groups and skill levels.

Parental social networks will be measured using the Position Generator^[26], which assesses access to occupations with varying levels of prestige and resources. The diversity and reach of parental social networks will be calculated based on the occupations accessed.

3.4.2 Career path indicators

Participants' occupational status will be measured using the International Socio-Economic Index (ISEI)^[27], which assigns scores to occupations based on their average education and income levels.

Job satisfaction will be assessed using the Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS)^[28], a 36-item scale measuring nine facets of job satisfaction.

Participants will be asked to report their annual income before taxes, which will be converted into percentiles based on national income distributions^[4].

3.4.3 Demographic and control variables

Demographic variables such as age, gender, race/ethnicity, and marital status will be collected. Additional control variables, such as participants' own education level and work experience, will also be included in the analyses.

3.5 Data analysis plan

The dataset will be screened for missing values, outliers, and errors. Appropriate data cleaning techniques (e.g., listwise deletion, multiple imputation) will be applied based on the nature and extent of missing data^[29].

Descriptive statistics (e.g., means, standard deviations, frequencies) will be calculated for all study variables to provide an overview of the sample characteristics and the distribution of key variables.

Bivariate analyses (e.g., Pearson's correlations, t-tests, ANOVA) will be conducted to examine the associations between family social resources and career path indicators. These analyses will provide initial insights into the relationships between the variables of interest.

Multivariate analyses, such as multiple linear regression and structural equation modeling (SEM), will be employed to test the hypothesized relationships between family social resources and career paths while controlling for relevant demographic and control variables. These analyses will allow for the estimation of the unique effects of each predictor variable and the examination of potential mediating or moderating effects.

All analyses will be conducted using statistical software packages such as SPSS, R, or Mplus. The significance level will be set at $p < .05$, and effect sizes will be reported to assess the magnitude of the relationships [24].

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive statistics

The final sample consisted of 532 parent-child dyads ($N = 1,064$) after data cleaning and removal of incomplete responses. The mean age of the child participants was 35.6 years ($SD = 10.2$), and 54% were female. The parent participants had a mean age of 62.4 years ($SD = 8.7$), and 58% were mothers. The sample was diverse in terms of race/ethnicity, with 60% identifying as White, 18% as Asian, 12% as Hispanic/Latino, and 10% as Black/African American.

Parental education levels varied, with 20% having a high school degree or less, 30% having some college or an associate's degree, 35% having a bachelor's degree, and 15% having a graduate degree. The mean occupational status (ISEI) of parents was 52.3 ($SD = 20.1$), indicating a relatively high average occupational status. The mean diversity of parental social networks, as measured by the Position Generator, was 8.2 ($SD = 4.6$) out of a possible 20 occupations.

Child participants' mean occupational status (ISEI) was 58.7 ($SD = 18.4$), suggesting an overall higher occupational status compared to their parents. The average job satisfaction score was 3.8 ($SD = 0.9$) on a 5-point scale, indicating moderate levels of job satisfaction.

4.2 Relationship between family social resources and career paths

Bivariate analyses revealed significant positive correlations between parental education and child occupational status ($r = 0.28, p < .001$), job satisfaction ($r = 0.15, p < .01$), and income ($r = 0.24, p < .001$). Multiple regression analyses, controlling for child age, gender, and own education level, showed that parental education significantly predicted child occupational status ($\beta = 0.19, p < .001$), job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.11, p < .05$), and income ($\beta = 0.16, p < .001$).

Parental occupational status (ISEI) was significantly correlated with child occupational status ($r = 0.35, p < .001$), job satisfaction ($r = 0.18, p < .01$), and income ($r = 0.29, p < .001$). Multiple regression analyses, controlling for child age, gender, and own education level, indicated that parental occupational status significantly predicted child occupational status ($\beta = 0.26, p < .001$), job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.13, p < .01$), and income ($\beta = 0.21, p < .001$).

The diversity of parental social networks was significantly associated with child occupational status ($r = 0.22, p < .001$), job satisfaction ($r = 0.12, p < .05$), and income ($r = 0.19, p < .001$). Multiple regression analyses, controlling for child age, gender, and own education level, showed that parental social network diversity significantly predicted child occupational status ($\beta = 0.15, p < .01$), job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.09, p < .05$), and income ($\beta = 0.12, p < .01$).

4.3 Intergenerational transmission of social resources and career outcomes

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to examine the intergenerational transmission of social resources and their impact on child career outcomes. The model included parental education, occupation, and social networks as exogenous variables, and child education, occupational status, job satisfaction, and income as endogenous variables. The model demonstrated good fit to the data ($CFI = 0.95$, $RMSEA$

= 0.06, SRMR = 0.04).

The results showed significant direct effects of parental education ($\beta = 0.24$, $p < .001$), occupation ($\beta = 0.28$, $p < .001$), and social networks ($\beta = 0.17$, $p < .01$) on child education level. Child education level, in turn, significantly predicted child occupational status ($\beta = 0.32$, $p < .001$), job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.20$, $p < .001$), and income ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < .001$). Additionally, there were significant indirect effects of parental resources on child career outcomes through child education level, suggesting that child education partially mediated the relationship between parental resources and child career outcomes.

4.4 Moderating factors

Multigroup analyses were conducted to examine potential moderating effects of child gender and race/ethnicity on the relationships between family social resources and child career outcomes. The results indicated no significant differences in the strength of the relationships based on child gender or race/ethnicity, suggesting that the impact of family social resources on career paths was consistent across these demographic groups.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of findings

The results of this study provide evidence for the significant impact of family social resources on career paths from an intergenerational perspective. Consistent with our hypotheses and previous research [4], parental education, occupation, and social networks were found to be positively associated with child occupational status, job satisfaction, and income. These findings suggest that individuals from families with higher levels of social resources tend to have more advantageous career outcomes compared to those from families with lower levels of social resources.

The intergenerational transmission of social resources was also evident in our results. Parental education, occupation, and social networks had significant direct effects on child education level, which in turn predicted child career outcomes. This finding aligns with the concept of the intergenerational transmission of advantage [16] and highlights the importance of considering the role of education in the reproduction of social inequality across generations [30].

Interestingly, the moderating analyses revealed no significant differences in the strength of the relationships between family social resources and child career outcomes based on child gender or race/ethnicity. This finding suggests that the impact of family social resources on career paths may be relatively consistent across demographic groups, at least within our sample. However, it is important to note that this does not necessarily imply an absence of disparities in access to social resources or career opportunities based on gender or race/ethnicity [31].

5.2 Theoretical implications

Our findings contribute to the literature on social capital theory and intergenerational transmission theory by demonstrating the enduring influence of family social resources on career paths across generations. The results support the notion that social capital, in the form of parental resources, can be transmitted from parents to children and can shape children's educational and occupational trajectories [26]. The study also highlights the importance of considering multiple dimensions of social capital, such as education, occupation, and social networks, in understanding the intergenerational reproduction of advantage [7].

Moreover, the findings underscore the relevance of intergenerational transmission theory in explaining the persistence of social inequality across generations [5]. The significant direct and indirect effects of parental resources on child career outcomes suggest that the transmission of social capital plays a crucial role in the maintenance of occupational stratification and the perpetuation of social disparities [32].

5.3 Practical implications

The results of this study have important implications for policies and interventions aimed at promoting social mobility and equal opportunities in the labor market. Given the significant influence of

family social resources on career paths, efforts to reduce social inequality should focus on providing access to resources and opportunities for individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds ^[33]. This may include initiatives to improve educational quality and attainment, particularly for children from low-income families ^[34], as well as programs that provide mentoring, networking, and career guidance to help individuals navigate the labor market ^[35].

Additionally, policies that aim to reduce occupational segregation and discrimination based on gender and race/ethnicity are crucial for promoting equal access to career opportunities ^[36]. While our study did not find significant moderating effects of gender and race/ethnicity, it is important to acknowledge that structural barriers and biases may still limit the career advancement of individuals from underrepresented groups ^[37].

5.4 Limitations and future research directions

Despite the strengths of this study, there are some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional design limits our ability to make causal inferences about the relationships between family social resources and career paths. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to better capture the dynamic nature of intergenerational processes and to establish temporal precedence ^[38]. Second, while our sample was diverse in terms of demographic characteristics, it may not be fully representative of the broader population. Future studies should aim to replicate these findings with larger and more representative samples, particularly those that include a greater proportion of individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds. Third, our study focused on a limited set of family social resources and career outcomes. Future research could explore additional dimensions of social capital, such as cultural capital and cognitive skills ^[39], as well as other indicators of career success, such as occupational mobility and subjective well-being. Finally, while we examined the moderating effects of gender and race/ethnicity, future studies could investigate other potential moderators, such as family structure, neighborhood characteristics, and institutional factors, that may influence the relationship between family social resources and career paths.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence for the significant impact of family social resources on career paths and highlights the need for a continued focus on understanding and addressing the intergenerational transmission of social inequality. By recognizing the enduring influence of family background on occupational outcomes and implementing policies that promote equal access to resources and opportunities, we can work towards creating a more equitable and inclusive labor market for future generations.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Key Research Base of Philosophy and Social Sciences in Zigong City—Vocational Education Development Research Center of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering (ZYJY24-06).

References

- [1] Brown, S. D., & Lent, R. W. (2013). *Career development and counseling: Putting theory and research to work* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- [2] Lin, N. (2001). *Social capital: A theory of social structure and action*. Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Erikson, R., & Goldthorpe, J. H. (1992). *The constant flux: A study of class mobility in industrial societies*. Clarendon Press.
- [4] Erola, J., & Kilpi-Jakonen, E. (Eds.). (2017). *Social inequality across the generations: The role of compensation and multiplication in resource accumulation*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [5] Mare, R. D. (2011). A multigenerational view of inequality. *Demography*, 48(1), 1-23.
- [6] OECD. (2018). *A broken social elevator? How to promote social mobility*. OECD Publishing.
- [7] Bourdieu, P. (1986). *The forms of capital*. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood Press.
- [8] Coleman, J. S. (1988). *Social capital in the creation of human capital*. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95-S120.
- [9] Seibert, S. E., Kraimer, M. L., & Liden, R. C. (2001). A social capital theory of career success. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(2), 219-237.
- [10] Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). *Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational*

advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242-266.

[11] Lin, N. (1999). *Building a network theory of social capital*. *Connections*, 22(1), 28-51.

[12] Granovetter, M. S. (1973). *The strength of weak ties*. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360-1380.

[13] Higgins, M. C., & Kram, K. E. (2001). *Reconceptualizing mentoring at work: A developmental network perspective*. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 264-288.

[14] Wolff, H.-G., & Moser, K. (2009). *Effects of networking on career success: A longitudinal study*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1), 196-206.

[15] Vollebergh, W. A., Iedema, J., & Raaijmakers, Q. A. (2001). *Intergenerational transmission and the formation of cultural orientations in adolescence and young adulthood*. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 63(4), 1185-1198.

[16] Ermisch, J., Jäntti, M., & Smeeding, T. M. (Eds.). (2012). *From parents to children: The intergenerational transmission of advantage*. Russell Sage Foundation.

[17] Erola, J., & Kilpi-Jakonen, E. (Eds.). (2017). *Social inequality across the generations: The role of compensation and multiplication in resource accumulation*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

[18] Lareau, A. (2011). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.

[19] Parcel, T. L., & Bixby, M. S. (2016). *The ties that bind: Social capital, families, and children's well-being*. *Child Development Perspectives*, 10(2), 87-92.

[20] Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[21] Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[22] Setia, M. S. (2016). *Methodology series module 3: Cross-sectional studies*. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*, 61(3), 261-264.

[23] Lohr, S. L. (2019). *Sampling: Design and analysis* (2nd ed.). Chapman and Hall/CRC.

[24] Cohen, J. (1992). *A power primer*. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(1), 155-159.

[25] International Labour Organization. (2012). *International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-08)*. International Labour Office.

[26] Lin, N. (2001). *Social capital: A theory of social structure and action*. Cambridge University Press.

[27] Ganzeboom, H. B., & Treiman, D. J. (1996). *Internationally comparable measures of occupational status for the 1988 International Standard Classification of Occupations*. *Social Science Research*, 25(3), 201-239.

[28] Spector, P. E. (1985). *Measurement of human service staff satisfaction: Development of the Job Satisfaction Survey*. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 13(6), 693-713.

[29] Graham, J. W. (2009). *Missing data analysis: Making it work in the real world*. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 549-576.

[30] Erikson, R., & Goldthorpe, J. H. (2002). *Intergenerational inequality: A sociological perspective*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 16(3), 31-44.

[31] Breen, R., & Jonsson, J. O. (2005). *Inequality of opportunity in comparative perspective: Recent research on educational attainment and social mobility*. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 31, 223-243.

[32] Jerrim, J., & Macmillan, L. (2015). *Income inequality, intergenerational mobility, and the Great Gatsby Curve: Is education the key?* *Social Forces*, 94(2), 505-533.

[33] Bayer, P., Ross, S. L., & Topa, G. (2008). *Place of work and place of residence: Informal hiring networks and labor market outcomes*. *Journal of Political Economy*, 116(6), 1150-1196.

[34] Reskin, B. (2000). *The proximate causes of employment discrimination*. *Contemporary Sociology*, 29(2), 319-328.

[35] Smith, R. A. (2002). *Race, gender, and authority in the workplace: Theory and research*. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28(1), 509-542.

[36] Elder, G. H., Johnson, M. K., & Crosnoe, R. (2015). *The emergence and development of life course theory*. In J. Mortimer & M. J. Shanahan (Eds.), *Handbook of the life course* (pp. 3-19). Springer.

[37] Jæger, M. M., & Breen, R. (2016). *A dynamic model of cultural reproduction*. *American Journal of Sociology*, 121(4), 1079-1115.

[38] Ng, T. W., Eby, L. T., Sorensen, K. L., & Feldman, D. C. (2005). *Predictors of objective and subjective career success: A meta-analysis*. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(2), 367-408.

[39] Sharkey, P., & Faber, J. W. (2014). *Where, when, why, and for whom do residential contexts matter? Moving away from the dichotomous understanding of neighborhood effects*. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 40, 559-579.