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#### Abstract

The physical education performance of contemporary college students is crucial to every college student's physical health as well as credit grades. And the good or bad sports performance is closely related to the sports evaluation criteria. Relying on Internet technology, this paper takes undergraduates of a domestic university as the research object, adopts a variety of research methods, carries out in-depth research on the evaluation criteria of several items, and develops a more appropriate quantitative evaluation sports scoring standard through analysis and comparison. The experiment shows that the graduation quantitative evaluation sports scoring standards proposed in this paper can meet the graduation assessment needs and better respond to the differences of students' sports quality.
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## 1. Introduction

In higher physical education teaching, the content and standard of physical education performance assessment in colleges and universities is a very important content ${ }^{[1-3]}$. However, at present, the performance assessment standard of physical education is only divided according to four evaluation grades, too broad evaluation rules are too difficult to meet the demand of quantitative evaluation of college students, and the current graduation quantitative evaluation lacks sports scoring standards, the current method is generally: all sports items are above passing, sports comprehensive score is recorded as passing (full marks); there are failing sports, sports comprehensive score is recorded as failing (zero points). This method does not reflect the differences in different students in sports, it is unreasonable. In addition, the assessment standard is relatively single, which is difficult to match the statistical distribution of the academic year assessment results, and has limited incentive effect on college students to strive towards a higher sports level.

Scholars at home and abroad have deeply studied the problem of PE curriculum evaluation standard ${ }^{[4-6]}$. Li Tonghui ${ }^{[7]}$ conducted a study on the physical fitness evaluation of national men's basketball athletes, and his index system is composed of a number of first-level indicators and corresponding second-level indicators. The author puts forward the calculation steps and methods of corresponding weights, and describes the research method with individual scoring criteria and comprehensive grade evaluation as an example. Taking the 2400 -meter running scores of 242 junior high school students (including 126 boys and 116 girls) in four middle schools in Changzhou city as the original data, Shi Lei ${ }^{[8]}$ formulated the evaluation standard of 2400 -meter running through the methods of literature data, measurement and evaluation and progressive scoring, and used the progress range scoring method to formulate the scoring standard of relative progress scores. Zhou Feng ${ }^{[9]}$ studied the problem of D-value positioning in the "progressive" scoring method, and focused on analyzing the application problems in the technical assessment and scoring. $\mathrm{Lu} \mathrm{Li}^{[10]}$ studied the scoring standard and evaluation grade of 12-minute running, and expounded the research method of Tianjin college students as an example. Ma Yinan ${ }^{[11]}$ studied the progressive scoring equation, and especially focused on the reasonable selection of D value. Zhou $\mathrm{Tiji}{ }^{[12]}$ and others conducted related research on the scoring problem of track and field decathlon, and analyzed and studied the corresponding scoring table based on the progressive scoring method, and put forward suggestions and suggestions for improvement. Wang Xiangying ${ }^{[13]}$ made relevant research on the scoring in the college entrance examination and focused on the analysis of the scoring criteria of table tennis. Comprehensive assessment standard and the evaluation score of literature, research mainly focused on the public security colleges and
universities, pilots, national athletes and primary and middle school students group of physical assessment standards and evaluation score research, or for physical assessment of the digital test system design research, lack of college students' physical assessment standards and assessment score research. Therefore, this study for the study of college students physical score, hope to fill the blank of this research, be able to adapt to the college students graduate quantitative evaluation requirements, to improve the scientific nature of our college students' physical score and rationality, for our country college students graduate quantitative assessment fair and just, has important theoretical significance and practical value.

## 2. Research objects and methods

### 2.1. Research objects

This paper takes the sampling data of the academic year assessment and graduation assessment of a certain undergraduate student as samples, and the sample number is 600 , studies the sports performance evaluation and evaluation standards of universities, and formulates the sports scoring standard suitable for the quantitative evaluation of students of a university.

### 2.2. Research methods

### 2.2.1. Documentary Law

This study collects, sorts out, analyzes, comments and applies relevant literature through network inquiry, database retrieval, book borrowing and purchase, etc. The literature collection is mainly carried out from the following channels Database search: CNKI Library; University of Utah Library; National Library of China; Peking University Library; Beijing Sport University Library. Through the extensive reading and collation of these documents, the relevant literature articles and the topics involved in this paper are selected. With "sports" and "evaluation" as key words, we searched the CNKI and obtained a number of documents. Through the sorting and screening of these documents, the documents related to the topics involved in this paper were obtained, and these documents were summarized and analyzed.

### 2.2.2. Expert Interview Method

The interviews in this study were mainly experts in sports theory and physical education. The interview method is a combination of face-to-face interview and written communication. The interview form is mainly open and non-structured interview. The interview content basically covers the main topics of this study, and the interviewees have put forward valuable opinions for this study to different degrees. The key content and core technology of this research are determined, and the research focus and direction suitable for practical needs are defined. The list of personnel is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. List of expert groups

| Name | academic title | work unit | Name | academic title | work unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zhang xx | Professor | XX University | Mei xx | Professor | XX Academy |
| Ma xx | Professor | XX Academy | Zhao x | Professor | XX University |
| Guo xx | Professor | XX University | Li xx | Professor | XX University |
| Zhao xx | Professor | XX Academy | Kong xx | Professor | XX University |
| Lin xx | Professor | XX University | Xu x | Professor | XX University |
| Zhou xx | Professor |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2.3. Mathematical statistics

Constantly collect and organize the assessment results and data, using professional software SPSS18.0 to conduct statistical analysis of the data, get the key indicators needed for the research, such as mean, number, median, variance, standard deviation, etc., and then normal distribution analysis. The progressive scoring system is introduced, and the standard scheme for the sports performance evaluation is selected for the university students.

### 2.2.4. Survey Method

A sample survey was conducted on the students, and the rationality and scientificity of the relevant examination evaluation standard were conducted through the questionnaire survey, and the students' opinions were scientifically demonstrated and reasonably adopted, so as to continuously improve the
satisfaction and accuracy of the evaluation standard.

## 3. Study results and analysis

### 3.1. Analysis of the project academic year assessment results

In a university 600 undergraduate students 5000 meters run project year evaluation and graduation evaluation results as samples, the 5000 meters run project evaluation statistical results, using SPSS18.0 software single sample K-S test, get 5000 meters run project year assessment of Q-Q normal probability and Q-Q deviation normal probability diagram, as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2,5000 meters run project graduation evaluation of Q-Q normal probability diagram and Q-Q deviation normal probability diagram as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.


Figure 1: Project academic year assessment of 5000 meters running results of the $Q-Q$ Normal probability map


Figure 2: Project academic year assessment of 5000 meters running results the degree of deviation from the normal distribution


Figure 3: Q-Q normality of 5000 m running result after graduation probability graph


Figure 4: The 5000-meter run in the graduation entrance examination deviated from normal the degree of distribution
The mean score of the academic year of the 5000 m race was 1328.7849 seconds, with a standard deviation of 60.19713 seconds. The mean graduation score of the 5000 m race was 1252.1737 seconds, and the standard deviation was 63.56438 seconds. According to the analysis in Figure 3 and Figure 4, we can see that the 5000 m run in the graduation joint examination is approximately normal distribution. According to the comparison with the results of the project academic year, it can be seen that the graduation assessment is better than the results of the project academic year.

### 3.2. Scoring criteria based on 80 points and passing points

The passing scoring point 60 is recorded as-S, 80 as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{D}$ value corresponding to-S is 4 , and D corresponding to +S is 6 . Bring the D value into the progressive scoring formula

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
60=k 4^{2}-Z  \tag{1}\\
80=k 6^{2}-Z
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solution to $\mathrm{k}=2, \mathrm{Z}=-28$. Bring the parameters k and the constant Z back to the progressive scoring formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=2 D^{2}+28 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number and proportion of the evaluation grades of the 5000 m running project calculated by the scoring standard 3 are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Proportion of each grade in the academic year assessment of the 5000 m race project

| Grade | Number of people | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding | 138 | $23.2 \%$ |
| Good | 196 | $32.9 \%$ |
| Passing | 238 | $40.0 \%$ |
| Fail | 23 | $3.9 \%$ |

### 3.3. Scoring criteria based on 80 points and passing points

The median is about arranging the sample in size order to form a sequence, and the sample in the middle of the series is the median. The median is the representative value in the data, which is the representative value in the overall level. In order to play a role in encouraging progress and encouraging advanced, the number of failing and the number of excellent should account for a certain proportion, and the representative median individual should be rated as good. This rule is called the additional rule for the evaluation of progressive scoring criteria based on the median reference point.

According to Table 3 , the 5000 m running project is less than or equal to 1309 seconds; good is more than 1309 seconds, less than 1355 ; pass is more than 1355 seconds, less than 1390 seconds; failure is greater than 1390 seconds; compared with the original assessment standard, the requirement for excellent and good is reduced, but the requirement of passing is increased.

Table 3. Results and score

| Results | score | Results | score | Results | score | Results | score | Results | score | Results | score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within $1269$ | 100 | 1297 | 89 | 1326 | 79 | 1355 | 70 | 1384 | 61 | 1413 | 54 |
| 1269 | 100 | 1298 | 89 | 1327 | 79 | 1356 | 69 | 1385 | 61 | 1414 | 54 |
| 1270 | 99 | 1299 | 88 | 1328 | 78 | 1357 | 69 | 1386 | 61 | 1415 | 53 |
| 1271 | 99 | 1300 | 88 | 1329 | 78 | 1358 | 69 | 1387 | 61 | 1416 | 53 |
| 1272 | 99 | 1301 | 88 | 1330 | 78 | 1359 | 68 | 1388 | 60 | 1417 | 53 |
| 1273 | 98 | 1302 | 87 | 1331 | 77 | 1360 | 68 | 1389 | 60 | 1418 | 53 |
| 1274 | 98 | 1303 | 87 | 1332 | 77 | 1361 | 68 | 1390 | 60 | 1419 | 53 |
| 1275 | 97 | 1304 | 87 | 1333 | 77 | 1362 | 68 | 1391 | 59 | 1420 | 52 |
| 1276 | 97 | 1305 | 86 | 1334 | 76 | 1363 | 67 | 1392 | 59 | 1421 | 52 |
| 1277 | 97 | 1306 | 86 | 1335 | 76 | 1364 | 67 | 1393 | 59 | 1422 | 52 |
| 1278 | 96 | 1307 | 85 | 1336 | 76 | 1365 | 67 | 1394 | 59 | 1423 | 52 |
| 1279 | 96 | 1308 | 85 | 1337 | 75 | 1366 | 66 | 1395 | 58 | 1424 | 51 |
| 1280 | 96 | 1309 | 85 | 1338 | 75 | 1367 | 66 | 1396 | 58 | 1425 | 51 |
| 1281 | 95 | 1310 | 84 | 1339 | 75 | 1368 | 66 | 1397 | 58 | 1426 | 51 |
| 1282 | 95 | 1311 | 84 | 1340 | 74 | 1369 | 66 | 1398 | 58 | 1427 | 51 |
| 1283 | 94 | 1312 | 84 | 1341 | 74 | 1370 | 65 | 1399 | 57 | 1428 | 50 |
| 1284 | 94 | 1313 | 83 | 1342 | 74 | 1371 | 65 | 1400 | 57 | 1429 | 50 |
| 1285 | 94 | 1314 | 83 | 1343 | 73 | 1372 | 65 | 1401 | 57 | 1430 | 50 |
| 1286 | 93 | 1315 | 83 | 1344 | 73 | 1373 | 64 | 1402 | 57 | 1431 | 50 |
| 1287 | 93 | 1316 | 82 | 1345 | 73 | 1374 | 64 | 1403 | 56 | 1432 | 50 |
| 1288 | 92 | 1317 | 82 | 1346 | 72 | 1375 | 64 | 1404 | 56 | More than 1432 | Less than 50 |
| 1289 | 92 | 1318 | 82 | 1347 | 72 | 1376 | 64 | 1405 | 56 |  |  |
| 1290 | 92 | 1319 | 81 | 1348 | 72 | 1377 | 63 | 1406 | 56 |  |  |
| 1291 | 91 | 1320 | 81 | 1349 | 72 | 1378 | 63 | 1407 | 55 |  |  |
| 1292 | 91 | 1321 | 81 | 1350 | 71 | 1379 | 63 | 1408 | 55 |  |  |
| 1293 | 91 | 1322 | 80 | 1351 | 71 | 1380 | 62 | 1409 | 55 |  |  |
| 1294 | 90 | 1323 | 80 | 1352 | 71 | 1381 | 62 | 1410 | 55 |  |  |
| 1295 | 90 | 1324 | 80 | 1353 | 70 | 1382 | 62 | 1411 | 54 |  |  |
| 1296 | 89 | 1325 | 79 | 1354 | 70 | 1383 | 62 | 1412 | 54 |  |  |

## 4. Conclusion

This paper quantify the necessity of sports evaluation and the first four grade sports scoring standard detailed discussion and analysis, that the four grade rating standard is too broad, reflect the difference between different students in sports, and the purpose of graduation quantitative evaluation,
established progressive criteria based on the median reference point and based on 80 points and pass point, the simulation results show that the established model can better meet the evaluation needs.
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