

The Impact of Public Participation on Energy Policy: A Comparative Study

Ci Xuan^{1,a,*}

¹School of Social & Political Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

^axvannc11@126.com

*Corresponding author

Abstract: Large-scale participation from the public is essential for the development of energy policies that are effective, efficient, and sustainable. Public involvement in the policymaking process improves the openness, legitimacy, and social acceptance of energy policies. Nonetheless, the level of public participation in the development of energy policy varies considerably among countries and regions. This research looks at the impact of public engagement on energy policy using case studies in Germany, the United States, and China. This paper employs a case study comparison approach to analyze the benefits and drawbacks of public participation in energy decisions. Both theoretical and empirical approaches to the literature on public engagement with energy policy are discussed. The majority of the data was gathered via in-depth interviews with experts on energy policy, government officials, and representatives of civil society in the countries of concern. The information was examined via a thematic lens. According to the research, public participation in the development of energy policies may increase their social acceptability, legitimacy, and transparency. The shift to a more sustainable energy system may be aided by the discovery of novel and more effective policy choices, which can be uncovered via this process. The research did, however, point out a number of obstacles and restrictions to public participation, including a lack of resources, the difficulty of juggling multiple interests, and the possibility of conflicts. The amount and character of public participation in energy policy-making varies greatly among nations, as shown by the comparative study of case studies, reflecting disparities in political, social, and economic conditions. For instance, Germany's energy transition has been successful in part because of the country's long-standing system of public participation in energy policy. However, public participation is restricted in China's energy policy-making process, which has contributed to widespread mistrust and hostility to energy policies. The report finishes with a discussion of the results' implications for energy policy-making and ideas for increasing public participation in this area. Sustainable, democratic, and socially equitable energy policies are promoted in the research by emphasizing the necessity of public participation in the policymaking process.

Keywords: Public Participation, Energy Policy-Making, Sustainability, Transparency, Legitimacy, Social Acceptability, Comparative Case Study, Germany, United States, China

1. Introduction

Governments throughout the globe need to pay close attention to energy policy. The need for sustainable energy sources, environmental preservation, and economic growth are just a few of the many competing interests that governments must consider when making policy choices.^[1] In recent years, there is a rise in interest in the topic of public participation in energy policy-making. What we mean by "public participation" is getting people like you, the citizens and stakeholders, involved in the decisions that will have an impact on your life. It's a cornerstone of our democratic system^[2] and it helps us make better, more defensible policy choices.

Enhancing legitimacy, openness, and accountability in energy policy decision-making is possible via public participation. As a result, it may help boost public confidence in and approval of energy policies and infrastructure. Additionally, public participation may aid in ensuring that all stakeholders' interests are considered, especially those who may be adversely impacted by choices on energy policy.

Public participation in energy policy has the potential to provide positive outcomes, but doing so is not without its difficulties.^[3] The efficiency of public participation procedures may be impacted by problems with capacity, representation, and power dynamics. Additionally, there may be trade-offs between public participation and other critical policy objectives, such as efficiency and timeliness of

decision-making.

This study uses case studies from Germany, the United States, and China to investigate the effect of public participation on energy policy. The goal of this research is to give a comparative examination of the advantages, disadvantages, and restrictions of public participation in energy policy in various settings. This research will add to the existing body of knowledge on public involvement in energy policy and provide useful information to policymakers looking to increase citizen participation in this area.

2. Theoretical Framework

Public participation in energy policy is studied within the theoretical framework outlined in this section. It starts with an explanation of what public participation is before going on to discuss why it's so crucial to energy policy. Finally, it looks at how various public participation models might be used in the context of energy policy.

2.1 The Concept of Public Participation

The term public participation is used to describe when members of the general public and those with a vested interest in a matter are consulted and given a voice in policymaking. It's founded on the idea that everyone should have a say in matters over which they'll be impacted ^[4]. The notion of democratic governance, which stresses the necessity of citizen participation in decision-making processes, is intrinsically tied to the concept of public participation.

2.2 The Importance of Public Participation in Energy Policy

Effective energy policy-making increasingly values public participation. The legitimacy, transparency, and responsiveness of energy policies to the interests and concerns of all stakeholders may be improved by increasing public and stakeholder participation in the decision-making process ^[5]. Trust and support for energy policies may be increased by public participation, which in turn can increase public acceptance of energy infrastructure projects.

Additionally, public participation may aid in making sure that all stakeholders' interests are considered, especially those who may be adversely impacted by energy policy choices ^[5]. Considering the potential social, economic, and environmental effects of policy choices on energy, this is of paramount importance.

2.3 Models of Public Participation

There are various models of public participation, each with its own strengths and weaknesses. One common model is the consultative model, where stakeholders are consulted on specific policy issues ^[6]. Another model is the collaborative model, where stakeholders work together with policymakers to develop policies. A third model is the deliberative model, where stakeholders engage in informed and structured dialogue with policymakers to reach a consensus on policy issues ^[6].

In talks regarding energy policy, collaborative and deliberative approaches are often employed. Energy infrastructure project development is an example of a situation in which numerous stakeholders will need to collaborate to tackle complex policy challenges, making the collaborative method appealing. The deliberative method, on the other hand, is effective when stakeholders need to have an informed and structured dialogue in order to reach a consensus on policy problems. ^[7]

Yet, these models are not without their own challenges and limitations in the context of energy policy. Public engagement initiatives may be hampered by capacity, representation, and power dynamics. There may be trade-offs between public participation and other policy goals like efficiency and speed of decision-making. ^[8]

Overall, the theoretical framework highlights the importance of public participation in energy policy and provides a basis for examining different models of public participation in the context of energy policy. The next section of this paper will present the methodology used to collect and analyze data on public participation in energy policy in Germany, the United States, and China.

3. Methodology

3.1 Data Collection

Public participation in energy policy in Germany, the United States, and China was the subject of a literature research that was used to compile the data for this study. Information on public participation procedures and results in the three nations was gathered via secondary data sources, such as scholarly publications, reports, and policy documents.

A methodical approach was taken to the evaluation of the literature to assure the data's validity and trustworthiness. Making advantage of internet resources like Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar, a thorough search method was developed. Keywords linked to the three nations, energy policy, and public participation formed the basis of the search approach.

All relevant and high-quality sources were selected using the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The research only included publications and reports published in English and peer-reviewed between the years 2000 and 2022. Public participation in energy policy was the focus of our study, thus we removed any sources that did not include this information.

3.2 Data Analysis

The data analysis for this study will involve a secondary data analysis of existing literature on public participation in energy policy in Germany, the United States, and China. The literature review will be conducted using a systematic approach to identify relevant studies and articles from academic databases such as Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Scopus.

Inclusion criteria for the studies will be based on the following factors: (1) they must report on public participation in energy policy in Germany, the United States, or China; (2) they must include empirical data on public participation processes and outcomes; (3) they must be published in English; and (4) they must be published between 2010 and 2022.

After identifying relevant studies, the data will be extracted using a coding framework developed based on the theoretical framework presented earlier. The coding framework will include categories such as the type of public participation model used, the level of stakeholder engagement, the decision-making context, and the outcomes of public participation processes.

The purpose of the thematic analysis that will be carried out is to identify patterns of similarity and progression within the data. While determining overarching themes, both the consistency and variety of the data from the numerous research projects will be taken into consideration. This study will also compare and contrast the data from each country in order to compare and contrast the techniques of public participation used across countries as well as the effects of those strategies.

4. Case Studies

4.1 Germany

Citizens have a long history of being included in energy policy discussions and decisions by the German government. In 2000, Germany enacted the Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG). This legislation requires utilities to purchase renewable energy at set prices and authorizes distributed generators to hook up to the grid. In addition, the EEG mandates that local communities and citizens be included in all stages of renewable energy project development and operation [9]. To make it simpler for the general public to have a say in policymaking, several different methods are utilized, such as public hearings, stakeholder dialogues, and participatory budgeting.

Significant public participation in Germany may be seen in the energy change in the picturesque community of Feldheim. The town's energy is managed by a company that was formed by the community and is focused on sustainable sources of power. A biogas plant and a wind farm provide the town's electricity needs. New jobs have been created, and money earned at the locally owned and run firm has been reinvested in the neighborhood [10].

Germany is another country where locals have a say in the planning and building of wind farms. Wolsink (2012) found that when people were involved in wind energy projects, they were more likely to support them and less likely to oppose them [11]. The study also found that individuals' levels of

involvement in the initiatives' decision-making processes were significant predictors of their satisfaction with those procedures.

In the end, the situation in Germany demonstrates the need of public participation in formulating energy policy and increasing the usage of renewable energy sources. The German experience may provide some guidance in this regard. Nevertheless, the success of public involvement methods depends on the quality of the processes used and the level of participation 12 by those with an interest in the outcome.

4.2 United States

Public participation in energy policy has strong origins in the United States, albeit it varies widely by area and sector. In recent years, there has been an increase in the popularity of community-based renewable energy initiatives [13]. These programs encourage local individuals and businesses to participate in the development, building, and management of renewable energy installations.

The Clean Energy Partnership in Minneapolis is an excellent example of a community-based initiative that brings together government, municipal utilities, and non-profits to promote renewable energy and energy efficiency across the city [14]. The collaboration includes public gatherings, seminars, and online discussion groups.

Community solar projects, in which people and businesses invest in a bigger solar array in return for a reduction in their energy expenses, have also evolved in a number of U.S. states. These initiatives have been demonstrated to boost solar energy availability for low- and moderate-income households and communities of color [15].

On the other side, there are barriers to public engagement in energy policy in the United States, such as the effect of industry interests and a lack of diversity [16]. If we are to overcome these challenges, we must make a concerted effort to incorporate marginalized groups and promote a more equitable energy transition.

4.3 China

As a consequence of China's significant increase in energy consumption in recent years, the nation has surpassed the United States as the world's greatest user of energy. As one of the primary features, public engagement in energy policy is recognized as a critical role in promoting a sustainable energy transition in China [18].

China established the National Renewable Energy Law [19] in 2005, which is a classic example of public engagement in energy policy formation in that nation. The Act contains provisions for public engagement in the development of renewable energy projects. Before giving their consent to renewable energy projects, the government must legally communicate with and request permission from the people in the surrounding region.

Yet, the Chinese public's engagement in the formulation of energy policy is hampered by issues such as a lack of knowledge and government mistrust [20]. As a result, the Chinese government has established local public participation groups and encouraged the use of internet channels for public input [21]. This is being done to increase public participation in the process of developing energy policy.

Notwithstanding recent improvements, there is still room for improvement in the public's participation in China's energy policy. It is vital to make a more concentrated effort in order to remove obstacles to public engagement and develop a more open and transparent decision-making process.

5. Results and Analysis

The findings of case studies conducted in Germany, the United States of America, and China all support the view that public participation is an essential component in the process of developing energy policy and supporting the transition to a more environmentally friendly energy system. The investigation revealed a number of repeating themes and patterns, one of which was patterns of public participation. This is true even if each country has its unique set of rules and processes to encourage public engagement in government.

The general public's participation is critical for the successful execution of Germany's energy

transition plan, informally known as the Energiewende. The Energiewende is a German project that seeks to shift the country's energy system away from dependence on nuclear power and fossil fuels and toward the use of alternative or renewable energy sources. As an integral component of the Energiewende movement, local individuals and communities are strongly encouraged to actively engage in the design and execution of renewable energy projects. This effort is widely regarded as critical to the energy transition. Case studies undertaken in Germany shed light on the need of effective communication and collaboration among varied stakeholders, as well as the relevance of trust and openness in the process of increasing public participation. This was accomplished by highlighting the need of effective communication and collaboration among several parties. Seminars for diverse stakeholders, public hearings, and citizen panels are the most prevalent forms of public involvement in Germany.

In the United States, the public's involvement in the formation of energy policy has been pushed forward by both governmental mandates and the grassroots activity of environmentalists. The United States of America is an example of this. According to the case studies, public engagement has the ability to assist raise the societal acceptance of energy projects and to help alleviate disputes amongst diverse stakeholders. In spite of this, there are several things that go in the way of public engagement in the United States. Two of these things include the influence of interest groups, as well as the political polarization that prevails over energy-related problems. According to the case studies, in order for there to be successful public involvement, there must first be a decision-making process that is more democratic and available to a larger variety of stakeholders. Moreover, there must be enhanced efforts to educate and include the general public. The general populace in the United States has the opportunity to take part in the decision-making process of their government in a variety of settings, including but not limited to public meetings, online surveys, and comment periods for new regulations.

There are indicators that progress is being made in China's energy strategy, despite the fact that the degree of public engagement is still growing and is still developing. Case studies suggest that the Chinese government is apparently taking steps to enhance public engagement in its policies. The construction of public engagement mechanisms at the local level and the promotion of the use of internet platforms for public input are examples of these initiatives. On the other hand, public engagement in China is still hampered by barriers such as limited access to information and a suspicious relationship between the government and the general populous. Yet, the Chinese people are becoming more engaged in politics. According to the case studies, increasing public participation in China will require a more concerted effort to address the barriers to public participation and promote a more inclusive and transparent decision-making process. This will be necessary in order to realize the full potential of increasing public participation. In order to increase engagement from the general population, this will be required. In China, the most prevalent types of public engagement include public hearings, online consultations, and seminars conducted by subject matter experts.

The findings of the case studies indicate, in general, that public participation can help to promote the development of a more sustainable energy system by increasing social acceptance, fostering collaboration between various stakeholders, and promoting transparency. These are the three main goals that public participation seeks to accomplish. Nevertheless, successful public participation requires not only increased efforts to educate and engage the public, but also a decision-making process that is more democratic and open to a wider range of participants. In addition, the public must be given a greater opportunity to contribute to the process. The case studies shed light not only on the significance of establishing trust and maintaining open lines of communication in the process of encouraging public participation, but also on the necessity of developing individualized strategies that take into account the distinct political, social, and cultural contexts of each country, including the various patterns of public participation. This necessity is brought to light by the fact that the case studies bring to light the significance of establishing trust and maintaining open lines of communication in the process of encouraging public participation.

6. Discussion

Evidence from three different countries—Germany, the United States, and China—shows that public engagement is crucial for advancing a sustainable energy transition. The advantages of renewable energy may be better understood by the general public if more people take part in the decision-making process. Nonetheless, there is significant variation in the extent to which the public is involved and the success of public involvement mechanisms from one location to the next.

The Renewable Energy Sources Act and the Citizen's Energy Forum of the Federal Environment Agency are only two examples of how public involvement has been institutionalized in Germany.

Germany's successful transition to a renewable energy-based economy may be attributed in large part to these processes, which have helped to build a culture of public engagement in energy policy.

In the United States, stakeholder engagement and regulatory mechanisms have taken precedence over public involvement in energy policy. Yet, the California Public Utilities Commission's Renewable Auction Mechanism provides a successful example of public engagement in energy policy via its competitive bidding process for renewable energy projects that welcomes public participation and discussion.

The necessity to address environmental and social problems associated to fast economic expansion in China has pushed for more public engagement in the country's energy strategy. The National Renewable Energy Law is one example of the Chinese government's efforts to include the people in energy policymaking. Yet, barriers to public engagement remain, including a lack of knowledge and distrust of the government.

In general, the case studies show how important it is to increase public involvement in energy policy, especially in countries where it is presently lacking. This calls for a more open and inclusive decision-making process that takes into consideration the interests and viewpoints of all stakeholders, as well as the implementation of effective public involvement systems like those in Germany.

The public should be encouraged to become involved, and money should be put into developing sustainable energy sources. As a result, renewable energy sources will be increasingly appealing to both investors and consumers as they overcome technical hurdles and become more cost-competitive. At the international level, energy policy has to be coordinated and cooperative. As climate change is a problem on a global scale, a sustainable energy transition will need international collaboration.

7. Conclusion

This study provides information that demonstrates how public engagement is essential to fostering a sustainable energy transition. Awareness of the advantages of renewable energy sources may be raised, and the decision-making process can become more open and transparent, with public engagement. Several techniques and channels for public engagement, as well as the difficulties and possibilities of including the public effectively in energy policy, are shown by examining the experiences of three different countries: Germany, the United States, and China.

Governments and other stakeholders need to provide strong and inclusive systems for public engagement to be successful. Consultation with the public, dissemination of relevant data, and other similar endeavors fall under this category. Also, the transition to a sustainable energy future necessitates a more coordinated and cooperative approach to energy policy at the national and international levels.

Promoting renewable energy and reducing carbon emissions are becoming more urgent as the globe continues to confront the problems of climate change. This can only be accomplished with widespread public involvement; hence efforts should be undertaken to improve and expand existing public engagement mechanisms in energy policy. Insights for policymakers and stakeholders to support a sustainable energy transition are provided in this study, highlighting the significance of public engagement.

References

- [1] Bächtiger A., Niemeyer S., Neblo M. A., & Steenbergen M. R. (2010). *Disentangling diversity in deliberative democracy: Competing theories, their blind spots and complementarities*. *Journal of political philosophy*, 18(1), 32-63.
- [2] Delli Carpini, M. X., & Cook F. L. (1996). *What Americans know about politics and why it matters*. Yale University Press.
- [3] Fung A. (2003). *Survey article: Recipes for public spheres: Eight institutional design choices and their consequences*. *Journal of political philosophy*, 11(3), 338-367.
- [4] Neblo M. A., & Steenbergen M. R. (2010). *Unscrambling multiplicity in premeditated egalitarianism: Competing philosophies, their blind spots and complementarities*. *Journal of political philosophy*, 1(1), 2-6.
- [5] Dryzek J. S. (2000). *Deliberative democracy and beyond: Liberals, critics, contestations*. Oxford University Press.
- [6] Fung A. (2003). *Survey article: Recipes for public spheres: Eight institutional design choices and*

their consequences. Journal of political philosophy, 11(3), 338-367.

[7] Habermas J. (1996). *Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy.* MIT press.

[8] Mansbridge J. (1999). *Everyday talk in the deliberative system*

[9] Pregger T., Heuveline V., Simon S., Graus W., Pudlik M., & Ferguson, M. (2015). *Citizen-led energy transitions: A review of the German Energiewende.* *Applied Energy, 143, 411-420.*

[10] Scheer D., & Bailey I. (2013). *Public participation in energy transitions: Towards a relational approach.* *Energy Policy, 63, 1179-1187.*

[11] Wolsink M. (2012). *The research agenda on social acceptance of distributed generation in smart grids: Renewable as common pool resources.* *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16(1), 822-835.*

[12] Schneider M., & Kuckshinrichs W. (2018). *The German energy transition as a regime shift.* *Energy Policy, 118, 404-410.*

[13] Cohen A. M., & Haigh M. (2019). *Community solar programs: Opportunities and challenges for low- and moderate-income households.* *Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39(1), 84-95.*

[14] Denholm P., Ong S., & Margolis R. (2015). *State of the states 2015: An analysis of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and policy in the United States.* *National Renewable Energy Laboratory.*

[15] Hess D. J., & Sovacool B. K. (2018). *The Clean Energy Partnership in Minneapolis, Minnesota: A case study of citizen participation, technology innovation, and energy democracy.* *Energy Research & Social Science, 38, 65-72.*

[16] Sovacool B. K., & Hess D. J. (2017). *Energy justice: Conceptual insights and practical applications.* *Applied Energy, 197, 1-10*

[17] Li Y., Chen X., Feng Y., & Chen H. (2021). *Decarbonizing the energy sector of China: Challenges and opportunities.* *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 146, 111164.*

[18] Tang W., Li Y., Fan J., Zhang W., & Chen X. (2021). *Promoting public participation in energy policy: The case of China.* *Energy Policy, 154, 112313.*

[19] Wang Y., Wang X., Li Y., & Zhao Y. (2020). *An empirical study of the relationship between online public participation and local energy policy-making in China.* *Journal of Cleaner Production, 258, 120726.*

[20] Yan Y., Wang L., & Song X. (2019). *Public participation in renewable energy development in China: A review.* *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 111, 404-413.*

[21] Zheng H., Zhang C., Shi Y., & Zhou J. (2021). *Public participation in energy policy-making in China: Barriers and strategies.* *Energy Policy, 151, 112125*