

The Mediating Role of Learning Management Systems in the Relationship between Student Engagement, Peer Learning, Teaching Methods, Classroom Management, and Online Education in Chinese Private Universities

Lyu Xiaopan*

INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN Putra Nilai, 71800, Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: xiaopanlv@163.com

Abstract: Against the backdrop of increasingly diverse teaching environments and increasingly complex organizational structures in Chinese private universities, learning management systems have emerged as a critical institutional bridge between instructional input and learning outcomes, with their mediating role becoming increasingly prominent. Drawing on the theoretical perspective of structural equation modeling, this study systematically explores the mediating pathways of learning management systems in the relationships among teaching methods, classroom management, student engagement, peer learning, and online education, and constructs an operational framework across three dimensions: institutional structure, functional logic, and behavioral mechanism. The findings indicate that learning management systems, through regulatory guidance and feedback loops, improve the quality of student engagement and enhance instructional interaction, demonstrating organizational flexibility and regulatory capacity, particularly in online education contexts. Their mediating role is reflected in the structural embedding of behavioral pathways and the mechanism-based amplification of interactive relationships, thereby establishing a systemic connection between instructional organization and learning behavior. This study contributes to a deeper theoretical understanding of learning management systems and provides practical insights for educational governance and institutional optimization in private universities.

Keywords: Learning Management Systems; Private Universities; Teaching Methods; Student Engagement; Peer Learning; Classroom Management; Online Education; Mediating Mechanism

1. Introduction

As Chinese private universities face dual pressures of improving teaching quality and transforming educational models, institutionalized approaches play an increasingly prominent role in educational governance. As a structural mechanism embedded in the teaching process and guiding learning behavior, the learning management system has become a crucial element in optimizing instructional organization and promoting deep learning among students. In response to trends such as the diversification of teaching methods, increasing complexity of classroom interaction, and the normalization of online education, learning management systems not only maintain instructional order but also serve as a connecting and regulating agent between teaching and learning, forming a system of institutional support under diverse instructional pathways. This paper aims to analyze the internal logic of learning management systems through the threefold lens of structure, function, and behavior, and, on this basis, explore their mediating mechanisms in teaching approaches, student behavior, and organizational operations, thereby offering theoretical support and strategic direction for institutional development and educational effectiveness in private universities.

2. The Structural Dimensions and Theoretical Foundations of Learning Management Systems

2.1 Definition and Evolution of the Concept of Learning Management Systems

A Learning Management Regulation refers to an institutional configuration system embedded within the instructional organization, aimed at promoting the systematization, standardization, and efficiency of the learning process. Its core function lies in structurally guiding and dynamically regulating students' learning behavior, time investment, and interaction patterns through rule-setting, process control, and the optimized allocation of resource elements, thereby enhancing the coherence of the instructional process and the sustainability of learning outcomes.

In the context of limited resources and complex instructional organization in Chinese private universities, this institutional model is evolving from a traditional static management logic centered on administrative execution toward a student-centered, process-oriented regulatory mechanism. It emphasizes the synergistic effect of institutional mechanisms in activating learning motivation, closing behavior feedback loops, and optimizing the instructional ecosystem. Gradually, it is forming an operational framework that integrates organizational support, performance orientation, and interaction safeguards.

Existing literature on learning management systems primarily focuses on three levels: first, viewing them as management tools for ensuring teaching quality, emphasizing their role in resource allocation and performance evaluation; second, concentrating on their intervention effects within the learning ecosystem, analyzing their impact on students' self-regulation, learning persistence, and collaboration capabilities; and third, attempting to integrate them with digital technology environments to examine their adaptability and transformative potential in blended and online learning contexts.

As learning contexts diversify, research on learning management systems is shifting from a logic of static control to one of dynamic regulation, with attention expanding from institutional compliance to the relationship between institutional embeddedness and behavioral plasticity ^[1].

2.2 Structural Elements and Logical Framework of Learning Management Systems

From the perspective of institutional structure analysis, learning management systems can be deconstructed into several interrelated functional subsystems, including instructional standard systems, learning process monitoring mechanisms, evaluation and feedback systems, and support resource allocation mechanisms.

The instructional standard system centers on course organization rules, codes of conduct for instructors, and student learning regulations, forming the foundational framework for instructional boundaries and behavioral expectations.

The process monitoring mechanism emphasizes real-time tracking and regulation of the learning process, encompassing elements such as attendance tracking, interaction monitoring, assignment submission, and classroom behavior logging, thereby establishing an institutionalized path for analyzing learning trajectories.

The evaluation and feedback system fulfills the role of systematic reflection on both the instructional process and learning outcomes, enhancing institutional adaptability and regulatory capacity through a combination of formative and summative assessments.

The support resource allocation mechanism is reflected in the systematic integration of instructional tools, learning platforms, time management utilities, and teacher support services, thus ensuring the feasibility of institutional operations and the continuity of the learning process.

These elements do not exist in isolation but rather form an internally coupled logical system, the operation of which relies on the dynamic alignment among normative design, structural coordination, and behavioral response ^[2].

2.3 Functional Positioning of Learning Management Systems from a Mediating Variable Perspective

Within multivariable educational behavior models, learning management systems are not only components of the external environmental conditions but also exhibit significant characteristics of mediating variables, forming a logical link between individual learner traits and educational process variables.

Their mediating role is manifested in establishing procedural pathways between inputs (e.g., teaching methods, classroom management) and outputs (e.g., student engagement, peer learning, adaptation to online education), regulating behavioral trajectories and outcome dimensions through specific rules and operational mechanisms.

From the perspective of structural equation modeling, the mediating function of learning management systems is characterized by multiple pathways and multidimensionality. On one hand, they regulate students' learning behaviors and reinforce behavioral consistency, thereby enhancing responsiveness to instructional methods; on the other hand, they indirectly shape interaction structures and collaboration quality among students by increasing institutional transparency in collaborative environments.

Moreover, by coordinating classroom order and learning rhythm, these systems help alleviate the structural tension between traditional and online instruction, promoting seamless integration across different teaching scenarios.

Based on this, learning management systems should be understood as systemic variables with process mediation capabilities that foster higher-level coordination among educational elements through the interplay of organizational logic and behavioral norms. Establishing this perspective facilitates a system-level understanding of the institutional core underlying the student learning process and provides theoretical grounding and analytical direction for subsequent empirical research [3].

3. Institutional Mediation Mechanisms in Student Engagement and Collaborative Learning

3.1 Institutional Configuration as an Intrinsic Driver of Student Engagement

In the ongoing transformation of higher education quality and structural optimization, students' proactive engagement is not only a vital external indicator of learning outcomes but also a central dimension in assessing the rationality of instructional systems and the appropriateness of educational environments. This is particularly relevant in private Chinese universities, where the complexity of student demographics, disparities in resource allocation, and diversity in instructional organization mean that learning motivation largely depends on the scientific construction and effective operation of external institutional environments.

By defining behavioral boundaries, clarifying task requirements, and setting evaluation standards, the system builds a behavior-guiding framework with feedforward motivational effects, thereby psychologically enhancing students' autonomous learning awareness and intrinsic engagement momentum [4].

Learning management systems serve as “structural drivers” within this motivational pathway. Clear articulation of course objectives, rational planning of schedules, and specific evaluation criteria constitute the fundamental elements of the external structure, effectively enhancing students' ability to focus on goals and manage their time. Through institutional feedback mechanisms, students develop a stable link between actions and outcomes, gradually forming an internal “cognition–motivation” synergy that supports the systematic reconstruction of learning motivation. This institutional input-generated predictability not only raises students' perception threshold of learning value but also helps foster positive expectations toward academic achievement and self-efficacy.

By finely regulating participation frequency, interaction depth, and feedback processes, the system reinforces students' subjective perception of the significance of learning activities, gradually transforming participation into a stable learning norm. Learning thus evolves from a reactive task completion process into a sustained process of self-regulation and active investment within an institutional environment. The transformation of institutional motivation—from one-way behavioral regulation to two-way cognitive activation—creates a learning context with stronger internal drive.

Through systematic integration of mechanisms such as phased assessments, task decomposition, and process feedback, students' sense of control over their learning paths is enhanced, and their perceptions of the feasibility of achieving goals and controllability of outcomes are significantly improved. This institutional support not only improves the operability of learning activities but also strengthens learners' sense of path clarity and goal orientation. The key value of the system lies in its capacity to externalize and structurally reconstruct learning motivation, thereby facilitating the behavioral transformation of students toward self-driven engagement within a regulatory framework and constructing a high-efficiency engagement system that integrates internal and external forces [5].

3.2 Institutional Mediation Logic in Peer Learning Networks

Peer learning, as a critical method for knowledge construction and skill transfer in higher education, operates on the foundation of structural rationality and situational adaptability in group interactions. Learning management systems provide institutional support for the formation and operation of peer learning relationships by specifying collaborative task requirements, establishing group evaluation standards, and configuring collaboration platforms. Institutional involvement not only enhances the organizational coherence of collaborative networks but also subtly adjusts the distribution of interaction intensity and cooperative motivation, shifting peer networks from spontaneous aggregation toward rule-based organized collaboration.

Under institutional guidance, collaborative environments exhibit higher levels of consistency and synergy in role recognition, task division, and resource allocation. Standardizing group goals, responsibilities, and interaction frequency effectively balances collaboration stability and efficiency, mitigating inefficiencies caused by unclear roles and fragmented tasks. Through the implementation of reflective mechanisms and phased feedback processes, the system continuously optimizes information flow, knowledge sharing, and emotional support—thereby improving collaboration quality on a micro level and reinforcing the structural stability of learning communities on a macro level.

As a mediating variable, the institution is not merely a behavioral constraint tool, but a mechanistic vehicle facilitating the structural formation of learning networks. By embedding itself into learning relationships, the system enhances individual interaction cohesion and promotes the sustainability of collective learning and the systematic nature of knowledge construction, thereby offering a sustainable institutional environment for the generation and operation of peer learning networks.

3.3 The Dynamic Coupling between Student Agency and the Institutional Environment

As active agents in the learning process, students do not passively follow predetermined institutional paths; instead, they engage in self-positioning and behavioral adjustment through continuous interaction with the institutional environment. The environmental variables constructed by learning management systems significantly shape the activation and maintenance of student agency. By incorporating flexible learning checkpoints, task channels with options, and adjustable feedback mechanisms, the system allows for the realization of personalized learning trajectories within rules, thereby expanding students' sense of autonomy and behavioral regulation capacity ^[6].

Institutional feedback on learning behavior extends beyond performance evaluation to include mechanisms such as self-monitoring, situational adaptation, and collaborative adjustment. These mechanisms facilitate ongoing cognitive coordination and strategic updating between intrinsic motivation and external norms. This coupling between system and behavior is characterized by nonlinearity and staged development; students' responses to the system dynamically adjust according to learning progression, task complexity, and interactional contexts. Student agency is not only expressed within institutional boundaries but also feeds back into institutional configurations through institutionalized reflective mechanisms, forming a bidirectional interaction and a dynamic structure–behavior co-construction process.

The essence of this dynamic coupling lies in the mutual adaptability and reconstructive capacity between the institution and the individual. When learning management systems possess flexibility and responsive mechanisms, students can effectively switch between regulation and autonomy, facilitating the synergistic growth of cognitive investment, emotional commitment, and behavioral participation. This coupling system provides a theoretical anchor for understanding the functional transformation of learning management systems and offers a logical basis for evaluating the adaptability and regulability of institutional design within learning contexts.

4. Mediating Pathways of Learning Management Systems in Instructional Design, Classroom Structure, and Online Education

4.1 Institutional Mediation in the Diversification of Teaching Methods

The diversification of teaching methods has become a major trend in the educational reform of China's private universities. The flexible reorganization of course structures, the implementation of varied instructional strategies, and the deep integration of technological media have contributed to

increasingly complex teaching practices. In this process, learning management systems function not merely as external regulatory frameworks but also play a significant mediating role by shaping the internal relationship between instructional design and teaching behavior ^[7].

Specifically, learning management systems intervene in the selection and execution of teaching methods by establishing course design templates, clarifying instructional procedures, and standardizing teacher-student interaction. On the one hand, these systems provide operational guidelines and quality control standards for diverse teaching approaches; on the other hand, they indirectly influence the actual implementation of teaching methods through the allocation of teaching resources and behavioral regulations. Such structural arrangements transform teaching methods from ad hoc decisions based on individual teacher experience into systematic practices embedded within institutional logic.

Moreover, by supporting the structural compatibility and coordinated configuration of various instructional methods, the system plays a critical mediating role in the pathway through which teaching methods impact learning outcomes. Guided by institutional mechanisms, the ability of instructional strategies to enhance student engagement, interaction frequency, and peer learning is systematically amplified, thereby increasing their indirect effect on student achievement.

4.2 Institutional Pathways in Classroom Interaction Structures

The classroom serves as the central space of instructional activity, and the organizational efficiency and cognitive effectiveness of its interaction structure directly determine the quality of instruction. Between teachers' instructional behavior and students' learning engagement, learning management systems function as mediating frameworks by establishing systematic rules that support the structure of classroom interaction.

First, classroom management systems define behavioral procedures and participation norms, setting an expected paradigm for teacher-student interaction. By regulating time structures, turn-taking rules, and role responsibilities, the system influences teachers' classroom organization strategies, which in turn indirectly affect the depth and frequency of student participation. Second, the system provides students with clear participation channels and feedback mechanisms, encouraging more stable learning engagement under institutional guidance ^[8].

This embedded institutional process enhances the stability of classroom structures and promotes the coupling of teaching methods and classroom interaction. In essence, institutional mediation adjusts the indirect impact of instructional strategies on the quality of classroom interaction and acts as a bridge between pedagogical execution and learning feedback. This forms a mediating pathway connecting teachers' instructional strategies, classroom interaction structures, and students' learning behaviors.

4.3 Mediating Functions of Institutional Structures in Online Education

The expansion of online education has broadened the boundaries of instructional space while posing new challenges to the temporal and spatial characteristics of learning behavior. Against this backdrop, the mediating role of learning management systems between instructional methods and students' online learning behaviors has become increasingly prominent. On one hand, institutional frameworks not only impose basic constraints on platform use, resource access, and behavioral norms but also structurally influence the organization of instructional strategies and the rhythm of feedback by setting task milestones, interaction frequencies, and evaluation standards. These mechanisms help shape student engagement depth and self-regulation capacity ^[9].

On the other hand, in blended learning models, learning management systems function as key mechanisms that integrate and coordinate teacher strategies with student performance. By facilitating the optimal distribution of instructional content across multiple platforms, establishing differentiated evaluation systems, and constructing process-oriented support frameworks, these systems ensure instructional coherence while providing institutional support for personalized and asynchronous learning.

As both a response to educational transformation and a mediating hub, learning management systems enhance instructional effectiveness in online education through structural pathways, highlighting their core institutional value in the emerging educational ecosystem.

5. Conclusion

This paper systematically explores the mediating mechanisms of learning management systems across various educational settings—namely instructional methods, classroom structures, and online education—and clarifies their critical role in fostering student engagement, constructing peer learning networks, and enhancing the efficiency of instructional interaction. The study highlights that learning management systems not only function as normative regulatory tools but also demonstrate strong behavioral guidance and systemic coordination capabilities. This is particularly evident in private universities, where complex structures and limited resources underscore the system's significant contribution to organizational stability and the optimization of the learning ecosystem.

As a mediating variable, the learning management system reconstructs behavioral pathways and adjusts interaction mechanisms, thereby achieving institutional linkage within the educational process and systematically advancing instructional goals. Future research may further focus on the cultural adaptability of system operations, the diversity of student perception pathways, and the impact of institutional technological transformation on its mediating efficacy. These directions will help uncover the evolving functions and expanding boundaries of learning management systems in the context of artificial intelligence, big data, and smart education, providing both theoretical support and institutional strategies for the modernization of higher education governance and the intelligent upgrading of instructional support systems.

References

- [1] Zhao Yulan. *Practical approaches to improving teaching quality in top-tier private universities through institutional innovation*. Shanxi Youth, 2025, (07): 63–65.
- [2] Tao Linna. *A study on the current status and influencing factors of learning engagement among education majors in private universities*. Heilongjiang Science, 2025, 16(07): 103–105.
- [3] He Chenglin, Fang Min. *A study on the learning status and improvement strategies of students in private universities: A case study of Bengbu College of Industry and Commerce*. Journal of Hubei Open Vocational College, 2025, 38(01): 49–51.
- [4] Yang Zhilin, Zhao Weiping, Wu Xiujuan. *Constructing a lifelong learning competency assessment system for students in private universities*. Science & Education Guide, 2024, (35): 16–18.
- [5] Li Guangyang. *Reflections on teaching management systems in private universities: A case study of curriculum redesign for art majors at Guangxi University of Foreign Languages*. Life and Partner, 2024, (31): 43–46.
- [6] Lu Ping, Xu Caixia. *A study on differentiated management of faculty in private undergraduate universities*. Journal of Liaoning University of Technology (Social Sciences Edition), 2023, 25(04): 100–103+123.
- [7] Wang Hongli. *A discussion on legal education management for students in private universities*. Heilongjiang Science, 2022, 13(11): 76–77.
- [8] Guo Aixian. *Research on the construction of modern university systems in private universities in the new era: A case study of Zhengzhou Shengda University of Economics, Business & Management*. Journal of Xinxiang University, 2021, 38(05): 54–56+61.
- [9] Li Jian. *Student management in private vocational colleges from a “people-oriented” perspective*. Nanning Normal University, 2020.